On integration of a multidimensional version of n-wave type equation

A. I. Zenchuk

Institute of Problems of Chemical Physics, RAS, Acad. Semenov av., 1 Chernogolovka, Moscow region 142432, Russia

e-mail: zenchuk@itp.ac.ru

January 5, 2015

arXiv:1401.3929v2 [nlin.SI] 2 Jan 2015

Abstract

We represent a version of multidimensional quasilinear partial differential equation (PDE) together with large manifold of particular solutions given in an integral form. The dimensionality of constructed PDE can be arbitrary. We call it the n-wave type PDE, although the structure of its nonlinearity differs from that of the classical completely integrable (2+1)-dimensional n-wave equation. The richness of solution space to such a PDE is characterized by a set of arbitrary functions of several variables. However, this richness is not enough to provide the complete integrability, which is shown explicitly. We describe a class of multi-solitary wave solutions in details. Among examples of explicit particular solutions, we represent a lump-lattice solution depending on five independent variables. In Appendix, as an important supplemental material, we show that our nonlinear PDE is reducible from the more general multidimensional PDE which can be derived using the dressing method based on the linear integral equation with the kernel of a special type (a modification of the $\bar{\partial}$-problem). The dressing algorithm gives us a key for construction of higher order PDEs, although they are not discussed in this paper.

1 Introduction

A relevance of inverse spectral transform method (ISTM) [1, 2, 3] is provided by the wide physical applicability of (1+1)- and (2+1)-dimensional nonlinear ISTM-integrable partial differential equations (PDEs), or soliton equations. As a well known realization of ISTM, we recall the dressing method having several versions [4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. However, the higher dimensional analogies of the classical (1+1)- and (2+1)-dimensional soliton equations may not be constructed in a simple way. There are some examples of such multidimensional systems (see, for instance, [3]), but their solution spaces are restricted to (2+1)-dimensional manifolds. A possible way of increasing the dimensionality of solvable PDEs (together with the dimensionality of their solution space) was proposed in [9], where a new class of partially integrable multidimensional PDEs was derived using the dressing method with the degenerate kernel of integral operator. The nonlinear PDEs from this class are well-structured, although their applicability requires additional studies.

Regarding the non-soliton types of multidimensional integrable systems, we notice the first order PDEs integrable by the method of characteristics (equations with wave breaking solutions) [10], their matrix generalization [11], systems of hydrodynamic type [12, 13, 14, 15], linearizable (C-integrable) models [16, 17, 18, 19, 20], linearizable equations with constraints [21], self-dual type equations (instanton equations) [22, 23], nonlinear equations associated with commuting vector fields [24, 25, 26]. Combinations of different integration algorithms were used for construction of other types of multidimensional PDEs in [27, 28].

This paper is devoted to a multidimensional generalization of (2+1)-dimensional n-wave type equation, [29, 30]

$$[C^{(3)}, U_{t_2}] - [C^{(2)}, U_{t_3}] + C^{(2)}U_{t_1}C^{(3)} - C^{(3)}U_{t_1}C^{(2)} + [[C^{(3)}, U], [C^{(2)}, U]] = 0, \tag{1}$$

which is a well known example of ISTM-integrable matrix $N \times N$ systems. Up to now, its multidimensional integrable generalization is not found. Therewith, the physical applications of such a generalization are evident in the areas where the $(2+1)$-dimensional n-wave equation is acknowledge. In particular, this equation appears in multiple-scale expansions of known physical systems.

Hereafter we will use the term "dimensionality" in three different meanings. The first one is the number of variables appearing in the nonlinear PDE (we refer to it as the t-dimensionality). The second meaning is the matrix dimensionality of nonlinear PDE (the N-dimensionality). The third meaning is the dimensionality of the solution space (i.e., the number of independent variables t_i which can be arbitrarily introduced in the solution space). It is referred to as the s-dimensionality.

To analyze the similarity and principal difference between the new PDE and the classical (2+1)-dimensional n-wave equation, let us consider the linearized versions of both of them, which read, respectively,

$$[C^{(3)}, U_{t_2}] - [C^{(2)}, U_{t_3}] + C^{(2)}U_{t_1}C^{(3)} - C^{(3)}U_{t_1}C^{(2)} = 0, \tag{2}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{D}} \hat{L}^{(i)} U_{t_i} \hat{R}^{(i)} = 0. \tag{3}$$

Both of these equations are matrix $N \times N$ PDEs. The t-dimensionalities of these equations are, respectively, 3 and $\tilde{D}$, therewith the s-dimensionality of each of them is less than the appropriate t-dimensionality by one:

$$s\text{-dim.} = t\text{-dim.} - 1, \tag{4}$$

which indicates the fullness of the solution spaces of the linear equations (2) and (3). We emphasize, that the N-dimensionality is independent on the both t- and s-dimensionalities and can be arbitrary.

It is remarkable, that the nonlinearity can be introduced into eq.(2) in an integrable way (resulting in eq.(1)), i.e., preserving the above mutual relations among three dimensionalities. The same has to be valid for the desirable integrable non-linearization of eq.(3).

However, in this paper, the algorithm introducing the nonlinear terms in eq.(3) destroys the above relations among three dimensionalities. In the new nonlinear PDE, both the t- and N-dimensionalities increase with an increase in the s-dimensionality: t-dim. $\sim (s\text{-dim.})^2$, N-dim. $\sim s$-dim., so that N-dim. $\sim (t\text{-dim.})^2$. We see that there are mutual relations among all three dimensionalities. Therewith, the t- and N- dimensionalities increase very fast with an increase in the s-dimensionality. As a consequence, the complete integrability of such a PDE may not be achieved. Thus, we deal with the partial integrability, similar to ref.[9]. In addition, the large t-dimensionality requires the large N-dimensionality and is not suitable for small N. Consequently, a valuable problem is compressing of the increase in the t- and/or

N-dimensionalities with an increase in the s-dimensionality. In addition the derived nonlinear PDE has the diagonal part, unlike classical equation (1).

Of course, there might be another algorithm introducing the nonlinearity in eq.(3) in a way preserving the complete integrability of the resulting nonlinear PDE, but such an algorithm is not found up to now.

In this paper, we first write the nonlinear PDE itself and explicitly describe its available solution space in terms of the integral operator with the kernel of a special type. This representation of solution involves arbitrary functions of several independent variables mentioned above. In principle, the integral representation of solution can be considered as a prescribed representation of the available solution space. But it is important to emphasize that both PDE and formula for its solution were derived from the more general formulas which, in turn, can be derived via the dressing technique based on the linear integral equation of special form (a modification of the $\bar{\partial}$-problem [6, 7, 8]). The derivation algorithm is straightforward, but rather long, so that we do not fix the reader's attention on it and represent this algorithm in Appendix. The meaning of this algorithm is that it not only specifies the origin of the proposed formulas for the first order multidimensional quasilinear PDE together with its solution manifold but also gives a key for construction of higher order PDEs, which remain beyond the scope of this paper.

The structure of this paper is following. In Sec.2, we represent the general form of nonlinear PDE derived in this paper together with the general formula for available solution manifold. In Sec.3, we discuss the available manifold of explicit solutions for the nonlinear PDE (in particular, multi-solitary wave solutions) and construct some explicit solutions, for instance, the lump-lattice solution with five independent variables for 10-dimensional PDE. Results are discussed in Sec.4. A dressing algorithm used for derivation of the discussed nonlinear PDE is given in Appendix A, Sec.5. The richness of the solutions space is discussed in Appendix B, Sec.6. Relations among the t-, N- and s-dimensionalities are described in Appendix C, Sec.7. The link to the classical completely integrable (2+1)-dimensional n-wave equation is given in Appendix D (Sec.8). Brief remarks on construction of higher order multidimensional nonlinear PDEs are given in Appendix E, Sec.9.

2 Partially integrable quasilinear matrix first order PDE in arbitrary dimensions

The nonlinear PDE represented below is a particular realization of the multidimensional generalization of n-wave equation. The structure of its nonlinear term differs from that used in the classical case, but this equation is likely to play an important role in the multiple-scale expansions of real physical systems, like the (2+1)-dimensional n-wave equation. The study of multiple-scale expansion remains beyond the scope of this paper.

We propose the following version of the D^2-dimensional matrix $N \times N$ nonlinear PDE:

$$\sum_{m_1,m_2=1}^{D} \hat{L}^{(m_1)} \left(U_{t_{m_1 m_2}} + U p\, p^T \hat{a}^{(1;m_1 1)} \hat{a}^{(1;1 m_2)} s^{-1} U - U s^{-1} \hat{a}^{(1;m_1 1)} \hat{a}^{(1;1 m_2)} p\, p^T U \right) \hat{R}^{(m_2)} = 0, \quad (5)$$

where D and N are some integer parameters, $p = (\underbrace{1 \ \dots \ 1}_{N})^T$ is $N \times 1$ matrix, $\hat{L}^{(m_1)}$, $\hat{R}^{(m_2)}$ and s are arbitrary constant diagonal matrices. The diagonal matrices $\hat{a}^{(1;m_1 1)}$ and $\hat{a}^{(1;1 m_2)}$ are

related with $\hat{L}^{(m_1)}$ and $\hat{R}^{(m_2)}$ via the following system of linear algebraic equations:

$$\sum_{m_1=1}^{D} \hat{L}^{(m_1)}_{\alpha\pm i} \hat{a}^{(1;m_1 1)}_{\alpha} = 0, \tag{6}$$

$$\sum_{m_1=1}^{D} \hat{a}^{(1;1m_1)}_{\alpha} \hat{R}^{(m_1)}_{\alpha\pm i} = 0, \;\; i = 0, 1, \ldots, K-1, \tag{7}$$

$$D = 2K, \;\; \hat{L}^{(1)} = \hat{R}^{(1)} = I_N, \tag{8}$$

where I_N is the $N \times N$ identity matrix and, for any $N \times N$ diagonal matrix b, we assume

$$b^{(1;m_1 1)}_{N+i} = b^{(1;m_1 1)}_{i}, \;\; b^{(1;m_1 1)}_{-i} = b^{(1;m_1 1)}_{N-i}, \;\; i = 0, 1, \ldots, K-1. \tag{9}$$

2.1 Integral representation of solution

For the sake of brevity, we denote the integration over the space of K-dimensional complex spectral parameter μ,

$$\mu = \{\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_K\}, \tag{10}$$

by $*$, i.e., for any two functions $f(\mu)$ and $g(\mu)$ we have

$$f(\mu) * g(\mu) = \int f(\mu) g(\mu) d\Omega(\mu), \tag{11}$$

where $\Omega(\mu)$ is some measure in the K-dimensional space of parameter μ. We also introduce the unit $\mathcal{I}(\lambda, \mu)$ operator in a usual way:

$$f(\lambda, \nu) * \mathcal{I}(\nu, \mu) = \mathcal{I}(\lambda, \nu) * f(\nu, \mu) = f(\lambda, \mu). \tag{12}$$

If $d\Omega(\mu) = d\mu d\bar{\mu}$ and the integration is over the whole space of the complex vector parameter μ, then $\mathcal{I}(\lambda, \mu) = \delta(\lambda - \mu) = \prod_{i=1}^{K} \delta(\lambda_i - \mu_i)$. We use the double index for the independent variables $t_{m_1 m_2}$ of the nonlinear PDE and denote the whole set of these variables by t.

By direct substitution, we may verify that eq.(5) possesses a solution $U(t)$ given in the following integral form

$$U(t) = \frac{s\tilde{V}(t)s}{1+Q}, \;\; Q = p^T s^2 p, \tag{13}$$

where

$$\tilde{V}(t) = \tag{14}$$
$$-2\sum_{k=1}^{K} (\Pi^T)^{k-1} g^{(k)}(\lambda) * R(\lambda, \mu) * \Big(\Psi_0(\mu, \tilde{\mu}; t) * R(\tilde{\mu}, \nu) + \mathcal{I}(\mu, \nu)\Big)^{-1} * \sum_{i=1}^{K} g^{(i)}(\nu) \Pi^{i-1},$$

$$R(\lambda, \mu) = \mathcal{I}(\lambda, \mu) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{K} g^{(i)}(\lambda) \Pi^{i-1} s p p^T s (\Pi^T)^{j-1} g^{(j)}(\mu), \tag{15}$$

$$\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Pi^0 = I_N. \tag{16}$$

Therewith, the functions $g^{(i)}(\lambda)$ are arbitrary diagonal functions of spectral parameters satisfying the conditions

$$|g_\alpha^{(i)} * g_\alpha^{(j)}| < \infty, \quad i,j = 1,\dots,K, \quad \alpha = 1,\dots,N, \tag{17}$$

and the function Ψ_0 is defined as follows:

$$\Psi_0(\lambda,\mu;t) = \varepsilon(\lambda;t)\mathcal{C}(\lambda,\mu)\varepsilon^{-1}(\mu;t). \tag{18}$$

$$\varepsilon(\nu;t) = \exp\left(\sum_{m_1,m_2=1}^{D} T^{(m_1m_2)}(\nu)t_{m_1m_2}\right), \tag{19}$$

where $T^{(m_1m_2)}$ has the form

$$T^{(m_1m_2)}(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} \tilde{g}^{(j)}(\lambda)\Pi^{j-1}\hat{a}^{(1;m_11)}\hat{a}^{(1;1m_2)}(\Pi^T)^{j-1} \tag{20}$$

with

$$\tilde{g}^{(1)}(\lambda) = 2\Big(s + \sum_{i=2}^{K} \hat{g}^{(i)}(\lambda)\Pi^{i-1}s\Big)^{-1}, \tag{21}$$

$$\tilde{g}^{(j)}(\lambda) = \hat{g}^{(j)}(\lambda)\tilde{g}^{(1)}(\lambda), \quad j = 2,\dots,K, \tag{22}$$

$$\hat{g}_\alpha^{(i)}(\lambda) = \frac{g_\alpha^{(i)}(\lambda)}{g_\alpha^{(1)}(\lambda)}, \quad i = 2,\dots,K, \quad \hat{g}^{(1)} = 1. \tag{23}$$

Formulas (20 – 23) mean that, in $T^{(m_1m_2)}$, there are $(K-1)$ independent arbitrary functions $\hat{g}^{(i)}(\lambda)$, $i = 2,\dots,K$, of spectral parameters which characterize the richness of the solution space. Owing to them, the arbitrary functions of $2(K-1)$ independent variables $t_{m_1m_2}$ may be introduced into the solution space (see Sec.6 in Appendix for details).

2.2 Hermitian reductions $U = U^+$.

Eq.(5) admits the Hermitian reduction

$$U = U^+, \tag{24}$$

$$\hat{R}^{(m_1)} = \hat{L}^{(m_1)}, \quad \mathrm{Im}\, L^{(m_1)} = 0, \quad t_{m_1m_2} = t_{m_2m_1}, \tag{25}$$

$$s = is_0. \tag{26}$$

$$\hat{a}^{(i;m_11)} = \hat{a}^{(i;1m_1)}, \quad m_1 = 1,\dots,D, \tag{27}$$

$$\hat{a}^{(1,1m_1)}, \ s_0 \quad \text{are the real diagonal matrices.} \tag{28}$$

Regarding the solution manifold, we have to add two more relations:

$$\mathcal{C}^+(\bar{\mu},\bar{\lambda}) = \mathcal{C}(\lambda,\mu), \tag{29}$$

$$\overline{g^{(k)}}(\bar{\lambda}) = g^k(\lambda). \tag{30}$$

The Hermitian reduction reduces the t-dimensionality from D^2 to $\frac{D(D+1)}{2}$. Nonlinear PDE (5) under this reduction reads:

$$\sum_{m_1,m_2=1}^{D} \hat{L}^{(m_1)} \left(U_{t_{m_1 m_2}} + iUpp^T\hat{a}^{(1;m_1 1)}\hat{a}^{(1;m_2 1)}s_0^{-1}U - iUs_0^{-1}\hat{a}^{(1;m_1 1)}\hat{a}^{(1;m_2 1)}pp^TU \right) \hat{L}^{(m_2)} = 0. \quad (31)$$

2.3 Example of eq.(31) with $K = 2$

In this subsection, we give a brief analysis of PDE (31) associated with $K = 2$ and different values of the N-dimensionality. Since $K = 2$, then $D = 4$ owing to relation (8). The t-dimensionality is $D(D + 1)/2 = 10$. In accordance with eqs.(20) and (21), there is one arbitrary function of spectral parameters in $T^{(m_1 m_2)}$, and, consequently, arbitrary functions of two independent variables may be introduced in solution space (the s-dim.$= 2$). If $N \leq 3$, then the system is completely linear, which may be simply verified (the nonlinear terms disappear in virtue of eqs.(6,7)). If $3 < N < 7$, then matrix equation (31) can be splitted into two families of scalar PDEs. The first family consists of the linear scalar PDEs for the set of scalar fields $\mathcal{U}^{(lin)}$. The second family consists of the non-linear scalar PDEs for another set of scalar fields $\mathcal{U}^{(nl)}$. If the matrix dimensionality $N = 4$, then only the diagonal part of eq.(31) is nonlinear, i.e., $\{U_{\alpha\alpha},\ \alpha = 1, \ldots, N\} \in \mathcal{U}^{(nl)}$, $\{U_{\alpha\beta},\ \alpha \neq \beta,\ \alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, N\} \in \mathcal{U}^{(lin)}$. If $N = 5$, then the nonlinearity appears in the non-diagonal part, however this nonlinearity is trivial because each quadratic term of this nonlinearity involves fields from the set $\mathcal{U}^{(lin)}$ and consequently the sub-system of PDEs for the non-diagonal elements $U_{\alpha\beta}$ is essentially linear (the "hidden linearity"). The first nontrivial case corresponds to $N = 6$. The nonlinear system consists of 21 independent scalar equations for the fields $U_{\alpha\beta}$, $\beta \geq \alpha$. Three equations are linear PDEs and 18 are nonlinear PDEs, i.e

$$\begin{aligned} &\{U_{14}, U_{25}, U_{36}\} \in \mathcal{U}^{(lin)}, \quad (32)\\ &\{U_{\alpha\alpha},\ \ \alpha = 1, \ldots, 6,\ \ U_{12}, U_{13}, U_{15}, U_{16}, U_{23}, U_{24}, U_{26}, U_{34}, U_{35}, U_{45}, U_{46}, U_{56}\} \in \mathcal{U}^{(nl)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the diagonal elements $U_{\alpha\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, 6$, are the real fields and others are the complex ones. Nonlinear system (31) can be written as

$$\sum_{m_1,m_2=1}^{4} \hat{L}_{\alpha}^{(m_1)} \left((U_{\alpha\beta})_{t_{m_1 m_2}} - i \sum_{\substack{\gamma,\delta=1 \\ \delta \notin Z(\alpha,\beta,K) \\ \gamma \neq \delta}}^{6} \frac{\hat{a}_{\delta}^{(1;1m_2)}\hat{a}_{\delta}^{(1;m_1 1)}}{(s_0)_{\delta}} \Big(U_{\alpha\gamma}U_{\delta\beta} - U_{\alpha\delta}U_{\gamma\beta} \Big) \right) \hat{L}_{\beta}^{(m_2)} = 0, \quad (33)$$

$$\alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, 6,\ \ \beta \neq \alpha + 3,$$

$$\sum_{m_1,m_2=1}^{4} \hat{L}_{\alpha}^{(m_1)} (U_{\alpha(\alpha+3)})_{t_{m_1 m_2}} \hat{L}_{\alpha+3}^{(m_2)},\ \ \alpha = 1, 2, 3, \quad (34)$$

where we introduce the set of indices $Z(\alpha, \beta, K)$:

$$Z(\alpha, \beta, K) = \{\alpha \pm i, \beta \pm i, i = 1, \ldots, K - 1\}. \quad (35)$$

If $U_{\alpha(\alpha+3)} = 0$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$, then linear equations (34) become identities and one has the system of 18 scalar nonlinear equations (33).

3 Construction of explicit solutions to eqs.(5) and (31)

In general, the integral operator $*(\Psi_0 * R + \mathcal{I})$ in eqs.(13,14) can be inverted numerically. However, there is a particular case of degenerate kernel Ψ_0, when this operator may be inverted analytically resulting in the explicit formula for solution. Inverting the above integral operator is equivalent to solving the linear equation

$$\mathcal{I}(\lambda,\nu) = \theta(\lambda,\mu) * (\Psi_0(\mu,\tilde{\mu}) * R(\tilde{\mu},\nu) + \mathcal{I}(\mu,\nu)) \tag{36}$$

for the function θ. The degenerate kernel $\Psi_0(\lambda,\mu)$ means the degenerate matrix function $\mathcal{C}(\nu,\mu)$:

$$\mathcal{C}(\nu,\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} u^{(i)}(\nu) v^{(i)}(\mu), \tag{37}$$

where N_0 is some integer. Then we may write

$$\Psi_0(\nu,\mu;t) * R(\mu,\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} \phi^{(i)}(\nu;t)\psi^{(i)}(\lambda;t), \tag{38}$$

$$\phi^{(i)}(\nu;t) = \varepsilon(\nu;t)u^{(i)}(\nu), \quad \psi^{(i)}(\lambda) = \Big(v^{(i)}(\mu;t)\varepsilon^{-1}(\mu;t)\Big) * R(\mu,\lambda). \tag{39}$$

Substituting eq.(38) into eq.(36) we obtain:

$$\mathcal{I}(\lambda,\nu) = \theta(\lambda,\nu;t) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} \theta^{(i)}(\lambda,t)\psi^{(i)}(\nu;t), \tag{40}$$

where

$$\theta^{(i)}(\lambda,t) = \theta(\lambda,\nu;t) * \phi^{(i)}(\nu;t). \tag{41}$$

The functions $\theta^{(i)}$ satisfy a system of linear algebraic equations which can be derived applying the operators $*\phi^{(k)}(\lambda;t)$ to eq.(40). As a result, we obtain:

$$\phi^{(k)}(\lambda,t) = \theta^{(k)}(\lambda,t) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} \theta^{(i)}(\lambda,t) S^{(ik)}, \quad k = 1,\dots,N_0, \tag{42}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(ik)}(t) &= \psi^{(i)}(\lambda;t) * \phi^{(k)}(\lambda;t) = \\ & v^{(i)}(\mu) * u^{(k)}(\mu) + v^{(i)}(\mu) * \Big(\varepsilon^{-1}(\mu;t)z(\mu)\Big)\Big(z^T(\lambda)\varepsilon(\lambda;t)\Big) * u^{(k)}(\lambda), \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

and we introduce the notation

$$z(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} g^{(k)}(\lambda)\Pi^{k-1}s \tag{44}$$

for the sake of brevity. Eqs. (42) represent the linear non-homogeneous system of N_0 matrix $N \times N$ equations for the functions $\theta^{(k)}$, $k = 1,\dots N_0$. The solvability of this system must be provided by the matrices $S^{(ik)}$. In the simplest case, $N_0 = 1$, eq.(42) can be easily solved:

$$\theta^{(1)}(\lambda,t) = \phi^{(1)}(\lambda,t)\Big(I_N + S^{(11)}(t)\Big)^{-1}. \tag{45}$$

After functions $\theta^{(k)}$ are found, eq.(40) yields us expression for θ:

$$\theta(\lambda,\nu,t)=\mathcal{I}(\lambda,\nu)-\sum_{i=1}^{N_0}\theta^{(i)}(\lambda,t)\psi^{(i)}(\nu;t). \tag{46}$$

Finally, substituting θ from (46) (with $\theta^{(i)}$ given as solutions to system (42)) instead of the operator $*(\Psi*R+\mathcal{I})^{-1}$ in eq.(14) and using expression (15) for R, we obtain:

$$\tilde{V}(t)=-2\sum_{k=1}^{K}(\Pi^{k-1})^T g^{(k)}(\lambda)*\Big(\mathcal{I}(\lambda,\nu)+r(\lambda)z^T(\nu)\Big)*\sum_{j=1}^{K}g^{(j)}(\nu)\Pi^{j-1}+ \tag{47}$$

$$2\sum_{k=1}^{K}(\Pi^{k-1})^T g^{(k)}(\lambda)*\Big(\mathcal{I}(\lambda,\mu)+z(\lambda)z^T(\mu)\Big)*$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_0}\theta^{(i)}(\mu)\Big(v^{(i)}(\nu)\varepsilon^{-1}(\nu)\Big)*\Big(\mathcal{I}(\nu,\tilde{\nu})+z(\nu)z^T(\tilde{\nu})\Big)*\sum_{j=1}^{K}g^{(j)}(\tilde{\nu})\Pi^{j-1}.$$

Hereafter we take

$$d\Omega(\lambda)=\prod_{i=1}^{K}d\lambda_i. \tag{48}$$

Next, conditions (17) must be satisfied by a proper choice of the functions $g^{(k)}(\lambda)$, for instance,

$$g_\alpha^{(i)}(\lambda)=c_\alpha^{(i)}\lambda_i\exp\frac{1}{2}\Big(-\sum_{j=1}^{K}\Big(w_1^2(Re\ \lambda_j)^2+w_2^2(Im\ \lambda_j)^2\Big)\Big). \tag{49}$$

Here, w_j, $j=1,2$, and $c^{(i)}$, $i=1,\dots,K$, are the real parameters. In this case, we obtain the following values for the parameters $\varkappa_\alpha^{(ij)}=g_\alpha^{(i)}*g_\alpha^{(j)}$:

$$\varkappa_\alpha^{(ii)}\equiv\varkappa_\alpha^{(i)}=\frac{(w_2^2-w_1^2)\pi^K(c_\alpha^{(i)})^2}{2(w_1w_2)^{K+2}}<\infty,\quad \varkappa_\alpha^{(ij)}=0,\quad i\neq j, \tag{50}$$

which must be substituted in eq.(47) (these parameters appear in the scalars $g^{(j)}*z$ and $z^T*g^{(j)}$). Now we show that choice (49) does not reduce the richness of the solution space to the nonlinear PDE and provides the existence of $(K-1)$ independent combinations of complex parameters in $T^{(m_1m_2)}(\lambda)$. In fact, substituting eqs.(49) into eqs.(21,22) we obtain:

$$\tilde{g}_\alpha^{(1)}(\lambda)=2\left(s_\alpha+\sum_{i=2}^{K}\frac{c_\alpha^{(i)}\lambda_i}{c_\alpha^{(1)}\lambda_1}s_{\alpha+i-1}\right)^{-1}, \tag{51}$$

$$\tilde{g}_\alpha^{(j)}(\lambda)=\frac{c_\alpha^{(j)}\lambda_j}{c_\alpha^{(1)})\lambda_1}\tilde{g}_\alpha^{(1)}(\lambda),\quad j=2,\dots,K,$$

which must be used in $T^{(m_1m_2)}$ defined by eq.(20). We see that the parameter λ_1 appears only in the ratios λ_j/λ_1 in eqs. (51) and, consequently, in $T^{(m_1m_2)}(\lambda)$. Thus, there are $K-1$ independent complex parameters $\tilde{\lambda}_i=\lambda_i/\lambda_1$, $i=2,\dots,K$ in the function $\varepsilon(\lambda;t)$.

3.1 Multi-solitary wave solutions to eq.(5)

In order to obtain the multi-solitary wave solution, we have to introduce the δ-functions in $v^{(i)}$ and $u^{(i)}$:

$$u^{(i)}(\lambda) = \delta(\lambda - p_i), \quad v^{(i)}(\mu) = v_0^{(i)}\delta(\mu - q_i), \tag{52}$$

where we use the following notations

$$\delta(\lambda - p_i) = \prod_{j=1}^{K} \delta(\lambda_j - p_{ji}), \quad \delta(\mu - q_i) = \prod_{j=1}^{K} \delta(\mu_j - q_{ji}). \tag{53}$$

Here, $v_0^{(i)}$ are constant matrices, while $p_i = \{p_{i1}, \dots, p_{iK}\}$ and $q_i = \{q_{i1}, \dots, q_{iK}\}$ are some constant multi-component complex parameters, $p_i \neq q_j$, $i, j = 1, \dots, N_0$.

3.1.1 Transformation of eq.(42)

Now, substituting $\phi^{(k)}$ from the first of eqs.(39) in eqs.(42), we transform the later equation to the following form:

$$\varepsilon(p_k, t)\delta(\lambda - p_k) = \theta^{(k)}(\lambda, t) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} \theta^{(i)}(\lambda, t) S^{(ik)}, \tag{54}$$

where $S^{(ik)}$ are given by eq.(43) after substitutions (52):

$$S^{(ik)}(t) \;\; = \;\; v_0^{(i)} * \Big(\varepsilon^{-1}(q_i; t) z(q_i)\Big)\Big(z^T(p_k)\varepsilon(p_k; t)\Big), \tag{55}$$

and we take into account that $v^{(i)} * u^{(j)} = 0$, $i, j = 1, \dots, N_0$, which immediately follows from (52). We may collect the t-dependence in the rhs of equation (54) multiplying it by $\varepsilon^{-1}(p_k, t)$ from the right and introducing the function

$$\hat{\theta}^{(i)}(\lambda; t) = \theta^{(i)}(\lambda; t) v_0^{(i)} \varepsilon^{-1}(q_i). \tag{56}$$

Then, eq.(54) yields

$$\delta(\lambda - p_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} \hat{\theta}^{(i)}(\lambda, t) \hat{S}^{(ik)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, N_0, \tag{57}$$

where

$$\hat{S}^{(ik)} = \varepsilon(q_i, t)(v_0^{(i)})^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1}(p_i, t)\delta_{ik} + z(q_i)z^T(p_k). \tag{58}$$

3.1.2 Non-singularity conditions for functions $\hat{\theta}^{(i)}$

In general, functions $\hat{\theta}^{(i)}$ constructed as solutions of linear system (57) have singularities in the t-space, which lead to singularities in the solution $U(t)$ of the nonlinear PDE. To derive the non-singularity conditions, we first rewrite system (57) in the following row-matrix form:

$$[\delta(\lambda - p_1) \cdots \delta(\lambda - p_{N_0})] = [\hat{\theta}^{(1)} \cdots \hat{\theta}^{(N_0)}]^T \mathcal{S}, \tag{59}$$

where the matrix $\mathcal{S}$ has the following block structure:

$$\mathcal{S} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{S}^{(11)} & \hat{S}^{(12)} & \dots & \hat{S}^{(1N_0)} \\ \hat{S}^{(21)} & \hat{S}^{(22)} & \dots & \hat{S}^{(2N_0)} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \hat{S}^{(N_0 1)} & \hat{S}^{(N_0 2)} & \dots & \hat{S}^{(N_0 N_0)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{60}$$

In other words, the elements of $\mathcal{S}$ read

$$\mathcal{S}_{N(i-1)+\alpha, N(j-1)+\beta} = \hat{S}^{(ij)}_{\alpha,\beta}, \quad i,j = 1,\dots,N_0. \tag{61}$$

Functions $\hat{\theta}^{(i)}(\lambda, t)$ have no singularities if

$$|\det \mathcal{S}| \neq 0, \quad \forall\, t. \tag{62}$$

Using eq.(58), we may write the following expressions for the elements of matrix $\mathcal{S}$:

$$\mathcal{S}_{ij} = \epsilon_i \hat{v}^{-1}_{ij} \tilde{\epsilon}_j(t) + \hat{r}^{(1)}_i \hat{r}^{(2)}_j, \quad i,j = 1,\dots,N_0 N, \tag{63}$$

where the elements of the matrices ϵ, $\tilde{\epsilon}$, $\hat{v}$ and $\hat{r}^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2$, are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{v}_{N(i-1)+\alpha, N(j-1)+\beta} = (v^{(i)}_0)_{\alpha,\beta}\delta_{ik}, \\ &\epsilon_{N(i-1)+\alpha}(t) = \varepsilon_\alpha(q_i; t), \quad \tilde{\epsilon}_{N(k-1)+\beta}(t) = \varepsilon^{-1}_\beta(p_k; t), \\ &\hat{r}^{(1)}_{N(i-1)+\alpha} = (z(q_i))_\alpha, \quad \hat{r}^{(2)}_{N(k-1)+\beta} = (z^T(p_k))_\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

Let us derive the constraints for the elements of $v^{(i)}_0$ and ξ which guaranty the validity of non-singularity condition (62). The later has the following explicit form:

$$\left| \prod_{k,l=1}^{N_0 N} \frac{\epsilon_k(t)\tilde{\epsilon}_l(t)}{\det\, \hat{v}} \left(1 + \sum_{i,j=1}^{N_0 N} \frac{\hat{r}^{(1)}_i \hat{r}^{(2)}_j \hat{v}_{ji}}{\epsilon_i(t)\tilde{\epsilon}_j(t)} \right) \right| \equiv \left| \prod_{k,l=1}^{N_0 N} \frac{\epsilon_k(t)\tilde{\epsilon}_l(t)}{\det\, \hat{v}} \right| \left| \left(1 + \sum_{i,j=1}^{N_0 N} \frac{\hat{r}^{(1)}_i \hat{r}^{(2)}_j \hat{v}_{ji}}{\epsilon_i(t)\tilde{\epsilon}_j(t)} \right) \right| \neq 0. \tag{65}$$

In eq.(65), the t-dependence appears only in ϵ_i and $\tilde{\epsilon}_j$. The first factor $\left| \prod_{k,l=1}^{N_0 N} \frac{\epsilon_k(t)\tilde{\epsilon}_l(t)}{\det\, \hat{v}} \right|$ is positive for all t if $\det\, \hat{v} \neq 0$, which will be assumed hereafter. Thus, condition (61) reduces to

$$\left| 1 + \sum_{i,j=1}^{N_0 N} \frac{\hat{r}^{(1)}_i \hat{r}^{(2)}_j \hat{v}_{ji}}{\epsilon_i(t)\tilde{\epsilon}_j(t)} \right| \neq 0. \tag{66}$$

The analysis of condition (66) depends on whether the products $\epsilon_i\tilde{\epsilon}_j$ depend on t. We consider the real matrix v_0. Then condition (66) may be simply satisfied if the arguments of the matrix exponents ϵ_i and $\tilde{\epsilon}_i$ are either real or imaginary. First, we assume that these arguments are real and consider two cases.

1. Let the combinations $\epsilon_i\tilde{\epsilon}_j$ depend on t for all i and j. Condition (66) is satisfied if the coefficients ahead of all exponents are positive, i.e.

$$\hat{r}^{(1)}_i \hat{r}^{(2)}_j \hat{v}_{ji} > 0, \quad \forall\, i,j. \tag{67}$$

2. Let $\epsilon_i\tilde{\epsilon}_i = 1$, and the combinations $\epsilon_i\tilde{\epsilon}_j$ depend on t for $i \neq j$. Then condition (66) reads

$$\left| 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N_0 N} \hat{r}_i^{(1)} \hat{r}_i^{(2)} \hat{v}_{ii} + \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i\neq j}}^{N_0 N} \frac{\hat{r}_i^{(1)} \hat{r}_j^{(2)} \hat{v}_{ji}}{\epsilon_i(t)\tilde{\epsilon}_j(t)} \right| \neq 0. \tag{68}$$

which holds if

$$\operatorname{sign}\Big(\hat{r}_i^{(1)} \hat{r}_j^{(2)} \hat{v}_{ji}\Big) = \operatorname{sign}\Big(1 + \sum_{\tilde{i}=1}^{N_0 N} \hat{r}_{\tilde{i}}^{(1)} \hat{r}_{\tilde{i}}^{(2)} \hat{v}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}}\Big), \quad i \neq j. \tag{69}$$

Second, we assume that the arguments of the exponents ϵ_i and $\tilde{\epsilon}_i$ are imaginary and consider two following cases.
1. Let the combinations $\epsilon_i\tilde{\epsilon}_j$ depend on t for all i and j. Then the oscillating terms in condition (66) have the amplitudes $|r_i^{(1)} r_j^{(2)} \hat{v}_{ji}|$. Consequently, condition (66) holds if

$$1 - \sum_{i,j=1}^{N_0 N} \hat{r}_i^{(1)} \hat{r}_j^{(2)} \hat{v}_{ji} > 0. \tag{70}$$

2. Let $\epsilon_i\tilde{\epsilon}_i = 1$, and the combinations $\epsilon_i\tilde{\epsilon}_j$ depend on t for $i \neq j$. Then condition (66) transforms to (68), which holds if

$$\operatorname{abs}\Big(1 + \sum_{i,j=1}^{N_0 N} \hat{r}_{\tilde{i}}^{(1)} \hat{r}_{\tilde{i}}^{(2)} \hat{v}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}}\Big) - \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i\neq j}}^{N_0 N} \operatorname{abs}\Big(\hat{r}_i^{(1)} \hat{r}_j^{(2)} \hat{v}_{ji}\Big) > 0. \tag{71}$$

The analysis of condition (66) in general case may be done similarly. But it is more cumbersome and we do not represent it here.

General form of functions $\hat{\theta}^{(i)}$ as solutions of eq.(57). The general solution $\hat{\theta}^{(i)}(\lambda, t)$ of eq.(57) can be represented as a linear combination of delta-functions:

$$\hat{\theta}^{(i)}(\lambda, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} w^{(ji)}(t)\delta(\lambda - p_j), \tag{72}$$

where $w^{(ji)}$ are solutions to the following linear system

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_0} w^{(ji)} \hat{S}^{(ik)} = \delta_{jk}, \quad j,k = 1, \ldots, N_0. \tag{73}$$

Then the function $\tilde{V}$ given by formula (47) reads:

$$\tilde{V}(t) = C_0 + 2 \sum_{n,i=1}^{N_0} C_{1n} w^{(ni)}(t) \tilde{C}_{1i}, \tag{74}$$

where the constant matrices C_0, C_{1n}, and $\tilde{C}_{1n}$ are given by the following expressions:

$$C_0 = -2\sum_{k=1}^{K}(\Pi^T)^{k-1}\varkappa^{(k)}\Pi^{k-1} - 2\sum_{j=1}^{K}\sum_{k=1}^{K}(\Pi^T)^{k-1}\varkappa^{(k)}\Pi^{k-1}spp^Ts^T(\Pi^T)^{j-1}\varkappa^{(j)}\Pi^{j-1} \quad (75)$$

$$C_{1n} = \sum_{k=1}^{K}(\Pi^T)^{k-1}\Big(g^{(k)}(p_n) + \varkappa^{(k)}\Pi^{k-1}spp^Ts^T\sum_{l=1}^{K}(\Pi^T)^{l-1}g^{(l)}(p_n)\Big), \quad (76)$$

$$\tilde{C}_{1n} = \sum_{k=1}^{K}\Big(g^{(k)}(q_n) + \sum_{l=1}^{K}g^{(l)}(q_n)(\Pi)^{l-1}spp^Ts^T(\Pi^T)^{k-1}\varkappa^{(k)}\Big)(\Pi)^{k-1}. \quad (77)$$

3.1.3 One-solitary wave solution.

Similar to the classical integrable PDEs, we refer to the solution corresponding to the case $N_0 = 1$ as the one-solitary wave solution. Let $v_0^{(1)} = v_0$, and p_1, q_1 be real. Then eq.(45) yields

$$\theta^{(1)}(\lambda,t) = \delta(\lambda - p_1)\theta_0^{(1)}(t), \quad \theta_0^{(1)}(t) = \varepsilon(p_1;t)\Big(1 + v_0\varepsilon^{-1}(q_1;t)z(q_1)z^T(p)\varepsilon(p_1,t)\Big)^{-1}, \quad (78)$$

so that the solution of eq.(73) reads:

$$w^{(11)} = \theta_0^{(1)}v_0\varepsilon^{-1}(q_1). \quad (79)$$

Now, substituting this $w^{(11)}$ in eq.(74), we obtain

$$\tilde{V}(t) = C_0 + 2C_{11}\hat{\theta}_0(t)\tilde{C}_{11}, \quad (80)$$

where

$$\hat{\theta}_0(t) = (\hat{S}^{(11)})^{-1} = \Big(\varepsilon(q_1;t)v_0^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1}(p_1;t) + z(q_1)z^T(p_1)\Big)^{-1}, \quad (81)$$

and the constant matrix C_0 is given by eq.(75), while expressions (76) for C_{11} and (77) for $\tilde{C}_{11}$ are following:

$$C_{11} = \sum_{j=1}^{K}\sum_{k=1}^{K}(\Pi^T)^{k-1}\Big(g^{(k)}(p_1) + \varkappa^{(k)}\Pi^{k-1}spp^Ts^T\sum_{l=1}^{K}(\Pi^T)^{l-1}g^{(l)}(p_1)\Big), \quad (82)$$

$$\tilde{C}_{11} = \sum_{k=1}^{K}\Big(g^{(k)}(q_1) + \sum_{l=1}^{K}g^{(l)}(q_1)(\Pi)^{l-1}spp^Ts^T(\Pi^T)^{k-1}\varkappa^{(k)}\Big)(\Pi)^{k-1}.$$

In eq.(81), ε is given by eq.(19) with $T^{(m_1m_2)}$ from eq.(20) and $\tilde{g}^{(i)}$ from eq.(51).

In the case $N_0 = 1$, non-singularity condition (66) reads as

$$\left|1 + \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{N}\frac{(z(q_1))_\alpha(z(p_1))_\beta(v_0)_{\beta\alpha}}{\varepsilon_\alpha(q_1;t)\varepsilon_\beta^{-1}(p_1;t)}\right| \neq 0 \ \ \forall\, t. \quad (83)$$

We may simply satisfy this condition, if the arguments of matrix exponents $\epsilon_\alpha(p_1;t)$ and $\epsilon_\beta(q_1;t)$ $(\alpha,\beta=1,\dots,N)$ are either real or imaginary, rewriting eqs.(67-71) for the case $N_0=1$ as follows.

Assuming that the arguments of the above matrix exponents are real, we obtain two following cases.
1. Let $\varepsilon_\alpha(q_1;t)\varepsilon_\beta^{-1}(p_1;t)$ depend on t for all α and β. Then condition (67) reads

$$(z(q_1))_\alpha(z(p_1))_\beta(v_0)_{\beta\alpha}>0,\ \ \forall\,\alpha,\beta. \tag{84}$$

2. Let $\varepsilon_\alpha(q_1;t)\varepsilon_\alpha^{-1}(p_1;t)=I_N$ and $\varepsilon_\alpha(q_1;t)\varepsilon_\beta^{-1}(p_1;t)$ depend on t for all $\alpha\neq\beta$. Then condition (69) reads

$$\mathrm{sign}(z(q_1))_\alpha(z(p_1))_\beta(v_0)_{\beta\alpha}=\mathrm{sign}(1+\sum_{\gamma=1}^{N}(z(q_1))_\gamma(z(p_1))_\gamma(v_0)_{\gamma\gamma}),\ \ \forall\,\alpha\neq\beta. \tag{85}$$

If the matrix exponents have the imaginary arguments, we obtain two other cases.
1. Let $\varepsilon_\alpha(q_1;t)\varepsilon_\beta^{-1}(p_1;t)$ depend on t for all α and β. Then condition (70) reads

$$1-\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{N}(z(q_1))_\alpha(z(p_1))_\beta(v_0)_{\beta\alpha}>0. \tag{86}$$

2. Let $\varepsilon_\alpha(q_1;t)\varepsilon_\alpha^{-1}(p_1;t)=I_N$ and $\varepsilon_\alpha(q_1;t)\varepsilon_\beta^{-1}(p_1;t)$ depend on t for all $\alpha\neq\beta$. Then condition (71) reads

$$\mathrm{abs}\Big(1+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}(z(q_1))_\alpha(z(p_1))_\alpha(v_0)_{\alpha\alpha}\Big)-\sum_{\substack{\alpha,\beta=1\\ \alpha\neq\beta}}^{N}\mathrm{abs}\Big((z(q_1))_\alpha(z(p_1))_\beta(v_0)_{\beta\alpha}\Big)>0. \tag{87}$$

3.2 Hermitian reduction

Hermitian reduction (24) requires relations (25-30), so that eqs.(51) for $\tilde g^{(i)}$ read

$$\tilde g^{(1}_\alpha(\lambda)=-2i\left((s_0)_\alpha+\sum_{i=2}^{K}\frac{c_\alpha^{(i)}\lambda_i}{c_\alpha^{(1)}\lambda_1}(s_0)_{\alpha+i-1}\right)^{-1}, \tag{88}$$

$$\tilde g^{(j)}_\alpha(\lambda)=\frac{c_\alpha^{(j)}\lambda_j}{c_\alpha^{(1)}\lambda_1}\tilde g^{(1}_\alpha(\lambda),\ \ j=2,\dots,K.$$

3.3 Examples of explicit solutions

Now we turn to eq.(33) and consider the solution corresponding to $K=2$, $D=4$, $N=6$. The t-dimensionality is $D(D+1)/2=10$ in this case. We fix the parameters $L^{(m_1)}$ and s_0 as follows:

$$L^{(1)}=I_N,\ \ L_\alpha^{(m_1)}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\alpha^{m_1-1}, & m_1=2,3,4,\ \ \alpha=1,2,3\\ (2-\alpha)^{m_1-1}, & m_1=2,3,4,\ \ \alpha=4,5,6\end{array}\right.,\ \ s_0=I_N. \tag{89}$$

Elements of the matrices $\hat{a}^{(1;1m_1)} \equiv \hat{a}^{(1;m_1 1)}$, $m_1 = 2,3,4$, may be found from eqs.(6) and (7):

$$\hat{a}^{(1;12)} = \mathrm{diag}(-\frac{5}{4}, -\frac{11}{6}, -\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{13}{12}, -\frac{5}{12}), \quad \hat{a}^{(1;13)} = \mathrm{diag}(\frac{1}{8}, 1, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{9}, \frac{3}{8}, -\frac{1}{2}), \quad (90)$$
$$\hat{a}^{(1;14)} = \mathrm{diag}(\frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{12}, -\frac{1}{18}, \frac{1}{24}, -\frac{1}{12}).$$

In eq.(49), we take

$$w_1 = 1, \quad w_2 = 2, \quad c_i = \frac{1}{\pi} I_n \quad \Rightarrow \quad \varkappa_\alpha^i = \frac{3}{2^5}, \quad i = 1,2, \quad (91)$$

so that it reads

$$g_\alpha^{(i)}(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda_i}{\pi} \exp \frac{1}{2}\Big(- \sum_{j=1}^{2} \Big((Re\ \lambda_j)^2 + 4(Im\ \lambda_j)^2 \Big) \Big), \quad i = 1,2. \quad (92)$$

Let

$$p_{11} = -i, \quad p_{12} = -i\,h, \quad q_{11} = i, \quad q_{12} = i\,h, \quad (93)$$

where h is a positive constant. Then eqs.(88) reduce to

$$\tilde{g}^{(1)}(p_1) = \frac{-2i}{1+h}, \quad \tilde{g}^{(2)}(p_1) = \frac{-2ih}{1+h}. \quad (94)$$

Represent $\varepsilon(p_1;t)$ in the form

$$\varepsilon(t) \equiv \varepsilon(p_1;t) = \mathrm{diag}(e^{iX_1}, \ldots, e^{iX_6}), \quad (95)$$

where we introduce variables X_i, $i = 1,\ldots,6$, as the following linear combinations of the variables $t_{m_1 m_2}$, $m_1, m_2 = 1,\ldots,4$:

$$X_\alpha = \frac{-2}{1+h} \sum_{m_1,m_2=1}^{4} (\hat{a}_\alpha^{(1;1m_1)} \hat{a}_\alpha^{(1;1m_2)} + h \hat{a}_{\alpha+1}^{(1;1m_1)} \hat{a}_{\alpha+1}^{(1;1m_2)}) t_{m_1 m_2}. \quad (96)$$

Since $\tilde{C}_{11} = C_{11}^+$ (that follows from comparison of eqs.(82)), we write eq.(80) as

$$\tilde{V}(t) = C_0 + 2C_{11} \hat{\theta}_0(t) C_{11}^+, \quad (97)$$

where

$$\hat{\theta}_0(t) = \Big(\varepsilon(t) v_0^{-1} \varepsilon^{-1}(t) - \frac{(1+h)^2 e^{-4(1+h^2)}}{\pi^2} E \Big)^{-1} \quad (98)$$

and E is the 6×6 matrix of units (don't mix it with the unit matrix!). Substituting values (93) for p_{1i} and q_{1i} into eqs.(75) and (82), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &= -2 \sum_{k=1}^{2} (\Pi^T)^{k-1} \varkappa^{(k)} \Pi^{k-1} + \quad (99) \\ &\quad 2 \sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{2} (\Pi^T)^{k-1} \varkappa^{(k)} \Pi^{k-1} p\, p^T (\Pi^T)^{j-1} \varkappa^{(j)} \Pi^{j-1} = -\frac{3}{8} I_N + \frac{9}{128} E \\ C_{11} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{2} (\Pi^T)^{k-1} \Big(p_{1k} - \varkappa^{(k)} \Pi^{k-1} p\, p^T \sum_{l=1}^{K} (\Pi^T)^{l-1} p_{1l} \Big) \exp \Big(-2 \sum_{j=1}^{2} p_{1j}^2 \Big) \Big) = \\ &\quad \frac{i e^{-2(1+h^2)}}{\pi} \Big(- I_N - h \Pi^T + \frac{3(1+h)}{16} E \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us turn to the constant matrix v_0 appearing in eq.(98). We consider two particular examples of this matrix and discuss the particular solutions U of nonlinear PDE associated with them.

Example 1. As a simple case, let

$$v_0 = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{100}$$

Non-singularity condition (87) requires the following expression for v_1:

$$v_1 = -5 + \frac{(1-d)\pi^2}{(1+h)^2} \exp\left(4(1+h^2)\right), \quad d > 0, \tag{101}$$

where d is an arbitrary positive parameter. In this case, solution U depends on the single variable $Z_1 = X_3 - X_2$.

Now we fix $h = 1/4$. The absolute values of all elements have oscillating behavior. They may be characterized by the double amplitude $U_{ij}^{ampl} = |U_{ij}^{max}| - |U_{ij}^{min}|$ and by the average value $U_{ij}^{avr} = (|U_{ij}^{max}| + |U_{ij}^{min}|)/2$, which are collected in Table 1 for three values of d: $d = 0.1$, 0.01 and 0.001. Different shapes of absolute values $|U_{ij}|$ are shown in Fig.1a-c.

In Fig.1a, we represent the absolute values $|U_{12}|$ (the upper curve) and $|U_{26}|$ (the lower curve) as functions of Z_1 for $d = 0.1$. Functions $|U_{23}|$, $|U_{24}|$ and $|U_{25}|$ have the shape of $|U_{26}|$ as well, while the absolute values of all other elements have the shape of $|U_{12}|$.

In Fig.1b, we represent the absolute values $|U_{23}|$ (the big amplitude curve) and $|U_{22}|$ (the small amplitude curve) as functions of Z_1 for $d = 0.01$. The absolute values of all other elements have the shape of $|U_{23}|$.

Finally, in Fig.1c, we represent the absolute values $|U_{23}|$ (the upper curve) and $|U_{22}|$ (the lower curve) for $d = 0.001$. The absolute values of all other elements have the shape of $|U_{23}|$.

We see from Table 1, that $|U_{11}|$ has the maximal amplitude for all three cases.

Example 2. As a more complicated example, we take

$$v_0 = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{102}$$

Condition (87) requires

$$v_1 = -30 + \frac{(1-d)\pi^2}{(1+h)^2} \exp\left(4(1+h^2)\right), \quad d > 0. \tag{103}$$

In this case, solution depends on five variables $Z_i = X_{i+1} - X_1$, $i = 1, \ldots, 5$. The absolute values of elements U_{11} and U_{22} as functions of Z_4 and Z_5 with $h = 1/4$, $d = 0.001$ (and fixed



Figure 1: Absolute values of some elements U_{ij} for $h = 1/4$ and $d = 0.1, 0.01, 0.001$ (from the top to the bottom); v_0 is given in eq.(100).



Figure 2: Elements U_{11} and U_{22} for $h = 1/4$, $d = 0.001$; v_0 is given in eq.(102) and $Z_1 = Z_2 = Z_3 = 0$.

	$d=0.1$		$d=0.01$		$d=0.001$	
$\lvert U_{ij}\rvert$	U_{ij}^{ampl}	U_{ij}^{avr}	U_{ij}^{ampl}	U_{ij}^{avr}	U_{ij}^{ampl}	U_{ij}^{avr}
$\lvert U_{11}\rvert$	3.638	48.201	269.660	440.384	5239.94	3207.18
$\lvert U_{12}\rvert$	0.223	1.497	6.357	8.908	106.415	63.6566
$\lvert U_{13}\rvert$	0.939	15.059	81.562	135.842	1605.05	985.039
$\lvert U_{14}\rvert$	1.217	14.920	83.372	134.937	1608.53	983.301
$\lvert U_{15}\rvert$	1.286	14.885	83.824	134.710	1609.39	982.867
$\lvert U_{16}\rvert$	1.286	14.879	83.824	134.705	1609.39	982.861
$\lvert U_{22}\rvert$	0.010	2.416	0.127	2.275	2.13798	1.19072
$\lvert U_{23}\rvert$	0.040	0.279	1.905	2.002	32.5786	18.8045
$\lvert U_{24}\rvert$	0.069	0.276	1.960	1.993	32.6607	18.7808
$\lvert U_{25}\rvert$	0.079	0.275	1.976	1.990	32.6842	18.7749
$\lvert U_{26}\rvert$	0.079	0.275	1.976	1.990	32.6842	18.7749
$\lvert U_{33}\rvert$	0.229	2.387	24.656	39.587	491.631	300.228
$\lvert U_{34}\rvert$	0.310	5.337	25.212	42.299	492.705	302.681
$\lvert U_{35}\rvert$	0.332	5.324	25.354	42.227	492.975	302.545
$\lvert U_{36}\rvert$	0.332	5.324	25.354	42.227	492.975	302.545
$\lvert U_{44}\rvert$	0.406	2.311	25.775	39.039	493.774	299.168
$\lvert U_{45}\rvert$	0.430	5.281	25.916	41.951	494.042	302.017
$\lvert U_{46}\rvert$	0.430	5.275	25.916	41.945	494.042	302.011
$\lvert U_{55}\rvert$	0.455	2.287	26.057	38.899	494.309	298.902
$\lvert U_{56}\rvert$	0.455	5.269	26.057	41.881	494.309	301.883
$\lvert U_{66}\rvert$	0.455	2.287	26.057	38.899	494.309	298.902

Table 1: The amplitudes U_{ij}^{ampl} and the average values U_{ij}^{avr} for three values of d: $d = 0.1$, 0.01 and 0.001; $h = 1/4$ and v_0 is given in eq.(100).

$Z_1 = Z_2 = Z_3 = 0$) are depicted in Fig.2. They are lattices of lumps. The absolute values of all other elements have the shape of $|U_{11}|$.

Similar to the previous example, the absolute values $|U_{ij}|$ as functions of Z_4 and Z_5 with fixed $Z_1 = Z_2 = Z_3 = 0$ may be characterized by the double amplitudes $U_{ij}^{ampl} = |U_{ij}^{max}| - |U_{ij}^{min}|$ and by the average values $U_{ij}^{avr} = (|U_{ij}^{max}| + |U_{ij}^{min}|)/2$, which are collected in Table 2. It is remarkable, that if we put to zero any other triad of the parameters Z_i, then we obtain the same shapes for the absolute values $|U_{ij}|$ as functions of two remaining parameters Z_i.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we represent a new partially integrable multidimensional first-order quasilinear PDE together with the integral representation of its solution manifold. This PDE may be called n-wave type equation, although the nonlinear term has different structure in comparison with the nonlinear term of the well known (2+1)-dimensional completely integrable n-wave equation. The freedom of the solution space is characterized by the arbitrary functions of $2(K-1)$ independent variables (s-dim.$= 2(K-1)$). However the nonlinear PDEs are not completely integrable because the increase in the s-dimensionality causes an increase in the t-dimensionality of the nonlinear PDE (5), therewith t-dim. $\sim$ (s-dim.)^2. The N-dimensionality also increases: N-dim. $\sim$ s-dim. Thus, the problem of compatible reductions suppressing the

$\lvert U_{ij}\rvert$	U_{ij}^{ampl}	U_{ij}^{avr}
$\lvert U_{11}\rvert$	5108.45	2628.74
$\lvert U_{12}\rvert$	91.2786	48.1621
$\lvert U_{13}\rvert$	1581.04	813.069
$\lvert U_{14}\rvert$	1581.04	813.069
$\lvert U_{15}\rvert$	1580.12	813.526
$\lvert U_{16}\rvert$	1579.89	813.647
$\lvert U_{22}\rvert$	1.61317	1.58563
$\lvert U_{23}\rvert$	28.2704	14.1456
$\lvert U_{24}\rvert$	28.2704	14.1399
$\lvert U_{25}\rvert$	28.211	14.1696
$\lvert U_{26}\rvert$	28.1961	14.177
$\lvert U_{33}\rvert$	489.299	249.155
$\lvert U_{34}\rvert$	489.299	252.174
$\lvert U_{35}\rvert$	489.064	252.297
$\lvert U_{36}\rvert$	489.006	252.326
$\lvert U_{44}\rvert$	489.299	249.155
$\lvert U_{45}\rvert$	489.064	252.291
$\lvert U_{46}\rvert$	489.006	252.326
$\lvert U_{55}\rvert$	488.726	249.441
$\lvert U_{56}\rvert$	488.641	252.503
$\lvert U_{66}\rvert$	488.55	249.529

Table 2: The amplitudes U_{ij}^{ampl} and the average values U_{ij}^{avr} for $d = 0.001$, $h = 1/4$; v_0 is given in eq.(102).

t- and/or N-dimensionalities of nonlinear PDE is very important.

We describe the manifold of explicitly representable solutions, associated with the case of degenerated kernel of the integral operator in (14). The multi-solitary wave solutions are embedded in this manifold. We derive the condition when such solutions do mot have singularities in the space of independent variables. As particular examples, we represent (i) an oscillating solution depending on a single variable and (ii) a lamp-lattice solution depending on 5 variables.

We expect that nonlinear equation (5) and its Hermision reduction (31) will be usefull in study of the multiple-scale expansions of known physical systems in hydrodynamics, optics and plasma physics.

Author thanks Prof. P.M.Santini for useful discussions. A part of this work was done during the visit of the Institute "La Sapienza" (Roma, Italy) in 2012. This work is partially supported by the Program for Support of Leading Scientific Schools (grant No. 3753.2014.2), and by the RFBR (grant No. 14-01-00389).

5 Appendix A. Derivation of nonlinear PDEs

In this section, we show that eq.(5) can be considered as a reduction of a more general equation derivable via the dressing method based on the following linear integral equation for the matrix

function $W(\lambda;t)$:

$$P(\lambda) = W(\mu;t) * \Psi(\mu,\lambda;t) + W(\lambda;t) \equiv W(\mu;t) * \Big(\Psi(\mu,\lambda;t) + \mathcal{I}_1(\mu,\lambda)\Big). \quad (104)$$

Here $P(\mu)$, $\Psi(\mu,\lambda;t)$, $W(\lambda;t)$ are the $N\times N$ matrix functions of arguments, λ, μ, ν are the complex vector parameters (10) of length K. Function Ψ is the kernel of the integral operator, the star "$*$" means the integration over the space of vector spectral parameter defined in eqs.(11) and (12). We require that eq.(104) is uniquely solvable for W, i.e., the operator $*(\Psi(\mu,\lambda;t)+\mathcal{I}_1(\mu,\lambda))$ is invertible:

$$W(\lambda;t) = P(\mu) * (\Psi(\mu,\lambda;t) + \mathcal{I}(\mu,\lambda))^{-1}. \quad (105)$$

Remember, that we use independent variables with double indices and denote the whole set of them by t: $t = (t_{m_1m_2} : m_1,m_2 = 1,\dots,D)$. Let us introduce the dependence on these parameters through the function Ψ, which satisfies the following system of linear PDEs with the coefficients independent on t:

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{t_{m_1m_2}}(\lambda,\mu;t) &= \Big(B^{(m_1m_2)}(\lambda,\nu) + A(\lambda)C^{(m_1m_2)}P(\nu)\Big) * \Psi(\nu,\mu;t) - \quad (106)\\ &\quad \Psi(\lambda,\nu;t) * (B^{(m_1m_2)}(\nu,\mu) - A(\nu)C^{(m_1m_2)}P(\mu)),\ \ m_1,m_2 = 1,\dots,D,\end{aligned}$$

where $B^{(m_1m_2)}(\lambda,\nu)$ and $A(\lambda)$ are the $N\times N$ matrix functions of spectral parameters.

5.1 Derivation of system of compatible linear equations for $W(\lambda;t)$.

The basic result of this subsection is represented in the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let matrices $B^{(m_1m_2)}(\lambda,\mu)$ satisfy the following set of external constraints:

$$\sum_{m_1=1}^{D} L^{(m_1)}P(\lambda) * (B^{(m_1m_2)}(\lambda,\mu) - A(\lambda)C^{(m_1m_2)}P(\mu)) = 0,\ \ m_2 = 1,\dots,D, \quad (107)$$

where $L^{(m_1)}$ are some $N\times N$ constant matrices. Then the matrix function $W(\lambda;t)$ obtained as a solution of integral equation (104) with the kernel Ψ defined by eq.(106) satisfies the following system of compatible linear equations

$$\begin{aligned}&E^{(m_2)}(\lambda;t) := \quad (108)\\ &\sum_{m_1=1}^{D} L^{(m_1)}\Big(W_{t_{m_1m_2}}(\lambda;t) + V(t)C^{(m)}W(\lambda;t) + W(\mu;t) * (B^{(m_1m_2)}(\mu,\lambda) +\\ &A(\mu)C^{(m_1m_2)}P(\lambda))\Big) = 0,\ \ m_2 = 1,\dots,D,\end{aligned}$$

where the field V (independent on spectral parameters) is defined as

$$V(t) = -2W(\mu;t) * A(\mu) \overset{\text{Eq.(105)}}{=} -2P * (\Psi + \mathcal{I})^{-1} * A. \quad (109)$$

Proof: To derive eq.(108), we differentiate eq.(104) with respect to $t_{m_1m_2}$. Then, in virtue of eq.(106), one gets the following integral equation:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{E}^{(m_1m_2)}(\mu;t) := \quad (110)\\ &P(\nu) * (B^{(m_1m_2)}(\nu,\mu) - P(\nu)C^{(m_1m_2)}A(\mu)) = \tilde{E}^{(m_1m_2)}(\nu;t) * (\Psi(\nu,\mu;t) + \mathcal{I}_1(\nu,\mu)),\\ &\tilde{E}^{(m_1m_2)}(\lambda;t) = W_{t_{m_1m_2}}(\lambda;t) + V(t)C^{(m_1m_2)}W(\lambda;t) +\\ &W(\mu;t) * (B^{(m_1m_2)}(\mu,\lambda) + A(\mu)C^{(m_1m_2)}P(\lambda)).\end{aligned}$$

We consider the following combination of eqs.(110): $\sum_{m_1=1}^{D} L^{(m_1)}\mathcal{E}^{m_1m_2}$. Then, using external constraints (107), we result in:

$$\sum_{m_1=1}^{D} L^{(m_1)}\mathcal{E}^{m_1m_2} := \sum_{m_1=1}^{D} L^{(m_1)}\tilde{E}^{(m_1m_2)}(\nu;t) * (\Psi(\nu,\mu;t) + \mathcal{I}_1(\nu,\mu)) = 0. \tag{111}$$

Since operator $*(\Psi(\nu,\mu;t) + \mathcal{I}_1(\nu,\mu))$ is invertible, eq.(111) is equivalent to eq.(108). ■

We refer to constraints (107) as the external constraints since they involve matrices $L^{(m_1)}$ which do not appear in the integral equation (104) as well as in the system of linear PDEs (106) defining the function Ψ.

System (108) is an analogy of the overdetermined system of linear equations in the classical inverse spectral transform method. According to that method, the system of nonlinear PDEs for the potentials of the overdetermined linear system appears as the compatibility condition of this linear system. However, the nonlinear PDE for the matrix field V may not be obtained as the compatibility condition in our case because of the term $W(\mu;t) * (B^{(m_1m_2)}(\mu,\lambda) + A(\mu)C^{(m_1m_2)}P(\lambda))$ in eq.(108). Therefore, we represent another algorithm of derivation of nonlinear PDE in the next subsection.

5.2 Derivation of the first order nonlinear PDE for the field $V(t)$

Theorem 2. In addition to eqs.(104,106) and external constraints (107), we impose another set of external constraints:

$$\sum_{m_2=1}^{D} (B^{(m_1m_2)}(\lambda,\nu) + A(\lambda)C^{(m_1m_2)}P(\nu)) * A(\nu)R^{(m_2)} = 0, \tag{112}$$
$$m_1 = 1,\dots,D,$$

where $R^{(m_2)}$ are some $N \times N$ constant matrices. Then the $N \times N$ matrix function $V(t)$ is a solution to the following nonlinear PDE:

$$\sum_{m_1,m_2=1}^{D} L^{(m_1)}\left(V_{t_{m_1m_2}} + VC^{(m_1m_2)}V\right)R^{(m_2)} = 0. \tag{113}$$

Proof: Applying the operator $*(-2A)$ to eq.(108) from the right, one gets the following equation

$$E^{(m_2)}(t) = E^{(m_2)}(\lambda;t) * A(\lambda) := \tag{114}$$
$$\sum_{m_1=1}^{D} L^{(m_1)}\left(V_{t_{m_1m_2}} + VC^{(m_1m_2)}V + U^{(m_1m_2)}\right) = 0,$$

which introduces a new set of fields $U^{(m_1m_2)}$, $m_1, m_2 = 1,\dots,D$,

$$U^{(m_1m_2)}(t) = -2W(\mu;t) * (B^{(m_1m_2)}(\mu,\nu) + A(\mu)C^{(m_1m_2)}P(\nu)) * A(\nu). \tag{115}$$

Due to the external constraints (112), we may eliminate these fields using the appropriate combinations of eqs.(114). Namely, the combination $\sum_{m_2=1}^{D_2} E^{(m_2)}R^{(m_2)}$ results in system (113). ■

Nonlinear PDE (113) is the general form of PDEs considered below. First it was derived in [31]. However, the acceptable structure of the constant matrix coefficients $L^{(m_1)}$, $R^{(m_2)}$ and $C^{(m_1 m_2)}$ as well as the richness of the solution space have not been investigated to the full extent in that reference.

Below we show that the structure of the coefficients $L^{(m_1)}$, $R^{(m_2)}$ and $C^{(m_1 m_2)}$ is defined by the solution Ψ of system of linear PDEs (106). In Sec. 5.3, choosing the special form for the constant matrices $C^{(m_1 m_2)}$, we represent a family of solutions to the system of linear PDEs (106) leading to the multidimensional PDE of non-classical type. Another choice of the constant matrices $C^{(m_1 m_2)}$, leading to the classical (2+1)-dimensional n-wave equation, is considered in Sec.8.

5.3 Construction of kernel Ψ as a solution to system of linear PDEs (106). Special form of matrices $C^{(m_1 m_2)}$

In this section, we denote $m = (m_1 m_2)$ for the sake of brevity. Accordingly, $\sum_m \equiv \sum_{m_1,m_2=1}^{D}$. In order to construct solutions to nonlinear PDE (113), we have to find the explicit form of the function Ψ solving system of linear PDEs (106). Let us look for the solution Ψ in the following form:

$$\Psi(\lambda,\mu) = \chi(\lambda,\nu) * \Big(\varepsilon(\nu;t)\mathcal{C}(\nu,\tilde{\nu})\tilde{\varepsilon}(\tilde{\nu},t)\Big) * \tilde{\chi}(\tilde{\nu},\mu), \tag{116}$$

where

$$\varepsilon(\nu;t) = e^{\sum_m T^{(m)}(\nu)t_m}, \quad \tilde{\varepsilon}(\nu;t) = e^{-\sum_m \tilde{T}^{(m)}(\nu)t_m}. \tag{117}$$

Here χ and $\tilde{\chi}$ are the $N\times N$ invertible matrix operators, $T^{(m)}$ and $\tilde{T}^{(m)}$ are the diagonal $N\times N$ matrix functions of the spectral parameter. Substituting function Ψ given in eq.(116) into eq.(106) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\chi T^{(m)} - (B^{(m)} + AC^{(m)}P) * \chi\Big) * \varepsilon * C * \tilde{\varepsilon} * \tilde{\chi} - \\ &\chi\varepsilon * C * \tilde{\varepsilon} * \Big(\tilde{T}^{(m)}\tilde{\chi} - \tilde{\chi} * (B^{(m)} - AC^{(m)}P)\Big) = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{118}$$

Each of two terms in this equation must be identical to zero, which suggests us the following two equations relating $C^{(m)}$, $B^{(m)}$, $T^{(m)}$ and $\tilde{T}^{(m)}$:

$$\chi T^{(m)} - (B^{(m)} + AC^{(m)}P) * \chi = 0, \tag{119}$$

$$\tilde{T}^{(m)}\tilde{\chi} - \tilde{\chi} * (B^{(m)} - AC^{(m)}P) = 0. \tag{120}$$

Solving eq.(119) for $B^{(m)}$, we obtain:

$$B^{(m)} = (\chi T^{(m)}) * \chi^{-1} - AC^{(m)}P, \tag{121}$$

which defines the operator $B^{(m)}$. Substituting this expression into eq.(120) and applying the operator $*\chi$ from the right side, we result in the following relation:

$$R(\lambda,\mu)T^{(m)}(\mu) - \tilde{T}^{(m)}(\lambda)R(\lambda,\mu) = 2r(\lambda)C^{(m)}\tilde{r}(\mu), \quad \forall m, \tag{122}$$

where we introduce notations

$$R(\lambda,\mu) = \tilde{\chi} * \chi, \quad r(\lambda) = \tilde{\chi}(\lambda,\nu) * A(\nu), \quad \tilde{r}(\mu) = P(\nu) * \chi(\nu,\mu). \tag{123}$$

Thus, we have to find functions R, r, $\tilde{r}$, $T^{(m)}$, $\tilde{T}^{(m)}$ and constant matrices $C^{(m)}$ satisfying eqs.(122).

Remark, that there is a particular solution to system (122) leading to the classical integrable (2+1)-dimensional n-wave equation, which is considered in Appendix D, Sec.8. Here we study another solution to system (122) resulting in a new multidimensional first order quasilinear equation.

In order to solve eq.(122), we propose the following form of the constant matrix $C^{(m)}$:

$$C^{(m)} = \xi\xi^{(m)} - \eta^{(m)}\eta \tag{124}$$

and take the function $R(\lambda, \mu)$ in the form

$$R(\lambda, \mu) = \mathcal{I}(\lambda, \mu) + r(\lambda)\xi\eta\tilde{r}(\mu). \tag{125}$$

Here ξ and $\eta^{(m)}$ are $N \times 1$ constant matrices, while $\xi^{(m)}$ and η are $1 \times N$ constant matrices. Substituting eqs.(125) and (124) into eq.(122) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{I}(\lambda, \mu)(T^{(m)}(\mu) - \tilde{T}^{(m)}(\lambda)) + r(\lambda)\xi\eta\tilde{r}(\mu)T^{(m)}(\mu) - \tilde{T}^{(m)}(\lambda)r(\lambda)\xi\eta\tilde{r}(\mu) = \\ &2r(\lambda)\xi\xi^{(m)}\tilde{r}(\mu) - 2r(\lambda)\eta^{(m)}\eta\tilde{r}(\mu).\end{aligned} \tag{126}$$

Eq.(126) may be splitted into three following relations:

$$\tilde{T}^{(m)}(\lambda) = T^{(m)}(\lambda), \tag{127}$$

$$r(\lambda)\eta^{(m)} = \frac{1}{2}T^{(m)}(\lambda)r(\lambda)\xi, \tag{128}$$

$$\xi^{(m)}\tilde{r}(\mu) = \frac{1}{2}\,\eta\tilde{r}(\mu)T^{(m)}(\mu). \tag{129}$$

Owing to eq.(127), we have

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}(\lambda; t) = \varepsilon^{-1}(\lambda; t). \tag{130}$$

Let us analyze eqs.(128) and (129). Solving eq.(128) for $T^{(m)}$, we obtain:

$$T^{(m)}_{\alpha}(\lambda) = 2\frac{\sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} r_{\alpha\gamma}(\lambda)\eta^{(m)}_{\gamma 1}}{\sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} r_{\alpha\gamma}(\lambda)\xi_{\gamma 1}}. \tag{131}$$

In other words, we relate the λ-dependence of the diagonal elements of $T^{(m)}(\lambda)$ with the λ-dependence of the elements of the matrix $r(\lambda)$. Substituting eq.(131) into eq.(129) we obtain

$$\frac{\sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} r_{\alpha\gamma}(\lambda)\eta^{(m)}_{\gamma 1}}{\sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} r_{\alpha\gamma}(\lambda)\xi_{\gamma 1}} = \frac{\sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} \tilde{r}_{\gamma\alpha}(\lambda)\xi^{(m)}_{1\gamma}}{\sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} \tilde{r}_{\gamma\alpha}(\lambda)\eta_{1\gamma}}, \tag{132}$$

which relates elements of r and $\tilde{r}$. In particular, relation (132) becomes an identity if equation (129) is the transposition of eq. (128), i.e.,

$$\tilde{r}(\lambda) = r^{T}(\lambda), \;\; \eta = \xi^{T}, \;\; \xi^{(m)} = (\eta^{(m)})^{T}. \tag{133}$$

Thus, to satisfy eq.(122), we use the matrices $C^{(m)}$ and R given by, respectively, eq.(124) and eq.(125), identify $\tilde{T}^{(m)} = T^{(m)}$ (eq.(127)), relate $T^{(m)}(\lambda)$ with $r(\lambda)$ by eq.(131) and impose additional relations (133).

Now, substituting eqs.(124) and (133) in eq.(113), we obtain the following nonlinear PDE

$$\sum_{m_1,m_2=1}^{D} L^{(m_1)} \left(V_{t_{m_1 m_2}} + V\xi(\eta^{(m_1 m_2)})^T V - V\eta^{(m_1 m_2)}\xi^T V \right) R^{(m_2)} = 0. \tag{134}$$

Finally, using formulas (116) and (123), we write expression (109) for V as follows:

$$V(t) = -2r^T(\lambda) * (\Psi_0(\lambda,\mu;t) * R(\mu,\nu) + \mathcal{I}(\lambda,\nu))^{-1} * R^{-1}(\nu,\tilde{\nu}) * r(\tilde{\nu}), \tag{135}$$

$$\Psi_0(\lambda,\mu;t) = \varepsilon(\lambda;t)\mathcal{C}(\lambda,\mu)\varepsilon^{-1}(\mu;t). \tag{136}$$

Let us give another representation for V using the explicit expression for the inverse of the operator R,

$$R^{-1}(\lambda,\mu) = \mathcal{I}(\lambda,\mu) - \frac{r(\lambda)\xi\xi^T r^T(\mu)}{1+Q}, \tag{137}$$

$$Q = \xi^T r^T(\lambda) * r(\lambda)\xi. \tag{138}$$

Substituting this expression in combinations $r^T * R^{-1}$ and $R^{-1} * r$ we obtain

$$r^T(\nu) * R^{-1}(\nu,\lambda) = \Big(1 - \frac{r^T * r\xi\xi^T}{1+Q}\Big) r^T(\lambda) = \Gamma r^T(\lambda), \tag{139}$$

$$R^{-1}(\lambda,\nu) * r(\nu) = r(\lambda)\Big(1 - \frac{\xi\xi^T r^T * r}{1+Q}\Big) = r(\lambda)\Gamma^T, \tag{140}$$

where

$$\Gamma = 1 - \frac{r^T * r\xi\xi^T}{1+Q}. \tag{141}$$

Finally, using eqs.(139) and (140), we transform eq.(135) into the following one:

$$V = -2\Gamma r^T(\lambda) * R(\lambda,\mu) * (\Psi_0(\mu,\tilde{\mu};t) * R(\tilde{\mu},\nu) + \mathcal{I}(\mu,\nu))^{-1} * r(\nu)\Gamma^T. \tag{142}$$

We emphasize, that $N \times 1$ matrix $r^T * r\xi$ in definition of Γ (141) must be finite, i.e.

$$|(r^T * r\xi)_{\alpha 1}| < \infty, \;\; \alpha = 1, \ldots, N. \tag{143}$$

5.4 External constraints (107) and (112)

Now we have to satisfy external constraints (107) and (112). First, using the substitution $(B^{(m)} - AC^{(m)}P) = \tilde{\chi}^{-1}\tilde{T}^{(m)}\tilde{\chi}$ (which follows from eq.(120)), we transform constraint (107) to the following form

$$\sum_{m_1} L^{(m_1)} P * (\tilde{\chi}^{-1}\tilde{T}^{(m)}) * \tilde{\chi} = 0. \tag{144}$$

Or, applying $*\tilde{\chi}^{-1}$ and using notations (123) with relations (127,133), we write eq.(144) as

$$\sum_{m_1} L^{(m_1)} r^T * R^{-1} T^{(m)} = 0. \tag{145}$$

In a similar way, we transform constraint (112) using substitution $(B^{(m)} + AC^{(m)}P) = \chi * (T^{(m)}\chi^{-1})$ (following from eq.(119)) with notations (123) and applying $\chi^{-1}*$. As a result we obtain

$$\sum_{m_2} T^{(m)} R^{-1} * r R^{(m_2)} = 0. \tag{146}$$

Now, substituting eqs.(139) and (140) into constraints (145) and (146), we obtain

$$\sum_{m_1=1}^{D} L^{(m_1)} \Gamma r^T(\lambda) T^{(m)}(\lambda) = 0, \quad m_2 = 1, \ldots, D, \tag{147}$$

$$\sum_{m_2=1}^{D} T^{(m)}(\lambda) r(\lambda) \Gamma^T R^{(m_2)} = 0, \quad m_1 = 1, \ldots, D, \tag{148}$$

where $T^{(m)}$ is related with r by eq.(131). For convenience, we introduce the notation diag A for the diagonal matrix with the diagonal elements $A_{\alpha 1}$, where A is $N \times 1$ matrix. Let us substitute $T^{(m)}$ from eq.(131) into eqs.(147,148) and multiply the result by the non-degenerate diagonal matrix diag$(r * \xi)$ from the right and left sides respectively. Finally we obtain the external constraints in the following form:

$$\sum_{m_1=1}^{D} L^{(m_1)} \Gamma r^T(\lambda) \, \mathrm{diag}\Big(r(\lambda)\eta^{(m_1 m_2)}\Big) = 0, \quad m_2 = 1, \ldots, D, \tag{149}$$

$$\sum_{m_2} \mathrm{diag}\Big(r(\lambda)\eta^{(m_1 m_2)}\Big) r(\lambda) \Gamma^T R^{(m_2)} = 0, \quad m_2 = 1, \ldots, D, \tag{150}$$

Eqs.(149,150) represent the system of nonlinear equations for the elements of r involving constant (non-diagonal in general) matrices $L^{(m_1)}$, $R^{(m_2)}$.

5.4.1 Resolving external constraints (149) and (150) using a special form of function $r(\lambda)$

Both constraints (149) and (150) depend on the spectral parameter λ through the function $r(\lambda)$. They can be resolved considering $r(\lambda)$ as a linear combination of K arbitrary diagonal functions $g^{(i)}(\lambda)$ $(i = 1, \ldots, K)$ of the spectral parameter λ, i.e.,

$$r(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} g^{(j)}(\lambda) a^{(j)}. \tag{151}$$

Substituting eq.(151) in eqs.(149) and (150) we obtain:

$$\sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ j \geq i}}^{K} Z^{(m_2;ij)} g^{(i)}(\lambda) g^{(j)}(\lambda) = 0, \tag{152}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ j \geq i}}^{K} g^{(i)}(\lambda) g^{(j)}(\lambda) \tilde{Z}^{(m_1;ij)} = 0, \tag{153}$$

where

$$Z^{(m_2;ii)} = \sum_{m_1=1}^{D} L^{(m_1)}\hat{a}^{(i)}\hat{a}^{(i;m_1m_2)}, \tag{154}$$

$$Z^{(m_2;ij)} = \sum_{perm(i,j)}\sum_{m_1=1}^{D} L^{(m_1)}\hat{a}^{(i)}\hat{a}^{(j;m_1m_2)}, \quad i \neq j \tag{155}$$

$$\tilde{Z}^{(m_1;ii)} = \sum_{m_1=1}^{D} \hat{a}^{(i;m_1m_2)}(\hat{a}^{(i)})^T R^{(m_2)}, \tag{156}$$

$$\tilde{Z}^{(m_1;ij)} = \sum_{perm(i,j)}\sum_{m_1=1}^{D} \hat{a}^{(j;m_1m_2)}(\hat{a}^{(i)})^T R^{(m_2)}, \quad i \neq j, \tag{157}$$

and

$$\hat{a}^{(i)} = \Gamma(a^{(i)})^T \quad \Rightarrow \quad a^{(i)} = (\hat{a}^{(i)})^T(\Gamma^T)^{-1}. \tag{158}$$

Assuming the linear independence of $g^{(i)}(\lambda)$, $i = 1, \ldots, K$, we conclude that eqs.(152,153) hold if

$$Z^{(m_2;ij)} = 0, \quad m_2 = 1, \ldots, D, \tag{159}$$

$$\tilde{Z}^{(m_1;ij)} = 0, \quad m_1 = 1, \ldots, D, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, K. \tag{160}$$

In other words, system of equations (152,153) depending on the spectral parameter is equivalent to system (159,160) independent on the spectral parameter. This system will be solved below.

5.4.2 Structure of operators $T^{(m_1m_2)}$ in (131) associated with $r(\lambda)$ given in (151)

Let us show that representation (151) leads to $(K-1)$ arbitrary functions of spectral parameters in the exponent $\varepsilon(\lambda)$, see eqs.(117). In fact, substituting eq.(151) into eq.(131) one gets:

$$T^{(m_1m_2)}(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} \tilde{g}^{(j)}(\lambda)\hat{a}^{(j;m_1m_2)}, \tag{161}$$

where the elements of the diagonal matrices $\tilde{g}^{(j)}$ are defined by the formulas

$$\tilde{g}^{(j)}_{\alpha}(\lambda) = \frac{2g^{(j)}_{\alpha}(\lambda)}{\sum_{i=1}^{K}\sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} g^{(i)}_{\alpha}(\lambda)a^{(i)}_{\alpha\gamma}\xi_{\gamma 1}}, \tag{162}$$

and the elements of the diagonal matrices $\hat{a}^{(j;m_1m_2)}$ are defined as

$$\hat{a}^{(j;m_1m_2)}_{\alpha} = \left(a^{(j)}\eta^{(m_1m_2)}\right)_{\alpha 1}, \quad \alpha = 1, \ldots, N, \quad m_1, m_2 = 1, \ldots, D, \quad i = 1, \ldots, K \tag{163}$$

with

$$\hat{a}^{(i;11)}_{\beta} = 1, \quad i = 1, \ldots, K, \quad \beta = 1, \ldots, N. \tag{164}$$

Eq.(161) shows that all $T^{(m_1m_2)}$ are linear combinations of K diagonal functions $\tilde{g}^{(j)}(\lambda)$, $j = 1, \ldots, K$, and each of these functions involves $(K-1)$ arbitrary functions $\hat{g}^{(j)}(t)$ of spectral parameters, given in (23), as follows:

$$\tilde{g}^{(1)}_{\alpha}(\lambda) = 2\left(\sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} a^{(1)}_{\alpha\gamma}\xi_{\gamma 1} + \sum_{i=2}^{K}\sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} \hat{g}^{(i)}_{\alpha}(\lambda) a^{(i)}_{\alpha\gamma}\xi_{\gamma 1}\right)^{-1}, \tag{165}$$
$$\tilde{g}^{(j)}_{\alpha}(\lambda) = \hat{g}^{(j)}_{\alpha}(\lambda)\tilde{g}^{(1)}_{\alpha}(\lambda).$$

Thus, $\tilde{g}^{(k)}(\lambda)$ are parametrized by $(K-1)$ arbitrary functions $\hat{g}^{(i)}(\lambda)$ $(i = 2, \ldots, K)$ of the vector spectral parameter λ. Consequently, the same holds for the functions $T^{(m_1m_2)}(\lambda)$.

5.5 Solution of system (159,160)

Hereafter we consider the case of non-degenerate matrices $\hat{a}^{(i;m_1m_2)}$. First of all, we reduce the number of equations in system (159,160) decomposing each of the constant diagonal matrices $\hat{a}^{(i;m_1m_2)}$ into the pair of other diagonal matrices $\hat{a}^{(i;m_11)}$ and $\hat{a}^{(i;1m_2)}$ as follows:

$$\hat{a}^{(i;m_1m_2)} = \hat{a}^{(i;m_11)}\hat{a}^{(i;1m_2)}, \quad m_1, m_2 = 1, \ldots, D, \;\; i = 1, \ldots, K, \tag{166}$$

with normalization (164). Substituting decomposition (166) into constraints (159) and (160) we recognize that both are satisfied if, respectively,

$$\sum_{m_1=1}^{D} L^{(m_1)}\hat{a}^{(i)}\hat{a}^{(j;m_11)} = 0, \;\; i, j = 1, \ldots, K \tag{167}$$

and

$$\sum_{m_2=1}^{D} \hat{a}^{(i;1m_2)}(\hat{a}^{(j)})^T R^{(m_2)} = 0, \;\; i, j = 1, \ldots, K. \tag{168}$$

We obtain the general solution of system (167,168) in Sec.5.5.1. A reduced form of this system is derived in Sec.5.6.

5.5.1 General solution of external constraints (167,168): relations among constant matrices in nonlinear PDE (134)

System (167) consists of K^2 matrix $N \times N$ equations and can be considered as a system solvable for the constant matrices $L^{(m_1)}$, $m_1 = 1, \ldots, D$. Similarly the system of K^2 equations (168) can be solved for the constant matrices $R^{(m_2)}$, $m_2 = 1, \ldots, D$. In order to avoid additional constraints on the matrices $\hat{a}^{(i;m_11)}$, $\hat{a}^{(i;1m_2)}$ and $\hat{a}^{(i)}$, we require

$$D = K^2 + 1. \tag{169}$$

Then system (167) can be considered as a system for $D-1$ matrices $L^{(m_1)}$, $m_1 = 2, \ldots, D$, with the arbitrary matrix $L^{(1)}$, while system (168) may be considered as a system for the matrices $R^{(m_2)}$, $m_2 = 2, \ldots, D$ with the arbitrary matrix $R^{(1)}$. Both $L^{(1)}$ and $R^{(1)}$ can be identity matrices without the loss of generality.

Now we determine the N-dimensionality of the nonlinear PDE (134) required for resolvability of relations (166). Remember, that matrices $\hat{a}^{(i;m_1m_2)}$ must satisfy their definitions (163), which, in view of eq.(166), take the form

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{a}^{(j;m_11)}_\alpha \hat{a}^{(j;1m_2)}_\alpha = \left(a^{(j)}\eta^{(m_1m_2)}\right)_{\alpha 1}, \;\; \hat{a}^{(j;11)}_\alpha = 1, \\ &\alpha = 1,\dots,N, \;\; m_1,m_2 = 1,\dots,D, \;\; i = 1,\dots,K.\end{aligned} \tag{170}$$

Let us consider system (170) as a system for $a^{(i)}$ and write it in a matrix form as follows. First, we note that the number of different $\hat{a}^{(i;m_1m_2)}$ for any i equals D^2. Next, we introduce the $N \times D^2$ matrices $\hat{\xi}$ and $\hat{A}^{(i)}$,

$$\hat{\xi} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta^{(11)}_{11} & \cdots & \eta^{(1D)}_{11} & \eta^{(21)}_{11} & \cdots & \eta^{(2D)}_{11} & \cdots & \eta^{(D1)}_{11} & \cdots & \eta^{(DD)}_{11} \\ \eta^{(11)}_{21} & \cdots & \eta^{(1D)}_{21} & \eta^{(21)}_{21} & \cdots & \eta^{(2D)}_{21} & \cdots & \eta^{(D1)}_{21} & \cdots & \eta^{(DD)}_{21} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \eta^{(11)}_{N1} & \cdots & \eta^{(1D)}_{N1} & \eta^{(21)}_{N1} & \cdots & \eta^{(2D)}_{N1} & \cdots & \eta^{(D1)}_{N1} & \cdots & \eta^{(DD)}_{N1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{171}$$

$$\hat{A}^{(i)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & \hat{a}^{(i;1D)}_1 & \hat{a}^{(i;21)}_1 & \cdots & \hat{a}^{(i;2D)}_1 & \cdots & \hat{a}^{(i;D1)}_1 & \cdots & \hat{a}^{(i;DD)}_1 \\ 1 & \cdots & \hat{a}^{(i;1D)}_2 & \hat{a}^{(i;21)}_2 & \cdots & \hat{a}^{(i;2D)}_2 & \cdots & \hat{a}^{(i;D1)}_2 & \cdots & \hat{a}^{(i;DD)}_2 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & \cdots & \hat{a}^{(i;1D)}_N & \hat{a}^{(i;21)}_N & \cdots & \hat{a}^{(i;2D)}_N & \cdots & \hat{a}^{(i;D1)}_N & \cdots & \hat{a}^{(i;DD)}_N \end{pmatrix} \tag{172}$$

(for the sake of brevity, we do not split matrices $\hat{a}^{(j;m_1m_2)}$ into $\hat{a}^{(j;m_11)}$ and $\hat{a}^{(j;1m_2)}$). The elements of these matrices read:

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{\xi}_{\beta j}|_{j=(m_2-1)D+m_1} = \eta^{(m_1m_2)}_{\beta 1}, \\ &\hat{A}^{(i)}_{\beta j}|_{j=(m_2-1)D+m_1} = \hat{a}^{(i;m_1m_2)}_\beta = \hat{a}^{(i;m_11)}_\beta \hat{a}^{(i;1m_2)}_\beta, \\ &m_1,m_2 = 1,\dots,D, \;\; \beta = 1,\dots,N, \;\; j = 1,\dots,\tilde{D}.\end{aligned} \tag{173}$$

Finally, we can write system (170) in the following matrix form:

$$a^{(i)}\hat{\xi} = \hat{A}^{(i)}. \tag{174}$$

Eq.(174) may be uniquely solved for $a^{(i)}$ if

$$N = D^2, \;\; \det\hat{\xi} \neq 0. \tag{175}$$

In this case we have:

$$a^{(i)} = \hat{A}^{(i)}\hat{\xi}^{-1}. \tag{176}$$

Herewith, the elements $\hat{a}^{(i;1m_2)}_\beta$ and $\hat{a}^{(i;m_11)}_\beta$ are arbitrary parameters. If $N > D^2$, then matrices $a^{(i)}$ are not unique and some elements of the matrices $a^{(i)}$ can be arbitrary as well. Having determined matrices $a^{(i)}$, we find matrices $\hat{a}^{(i)}$ through eq.(158) and thus fix all coefficients in systems (167) and (168) in terms of $\hat{a}^{(i;1m_2)}_\beta$ and $\hat{a}^{(i;m_11)}_\beta$. Consequently, matrices $L^{(m_1)}$ and $R^{(m_2)}$, $m_1,m_2 = 2,\dots,D$, constructed as solutions of (167) and (168), depend on $\hat{a}^{(i;1m_2)}_\beta$ and $\hat{a}^{(i;m_11)}_\beta$ as well.

5.6 Eq.(5) as a reduction of eq.(134): solution of eq.(163) with $N < D^2$

Since $N \geq D^2 \sim K^4$ in Sec.5.5.1, the matrix dimensionality N is very large and increases very fast with an increase in the number K of independent functions $\hat{g}^{(k)}(\lambda)$. In this section, we decrease the matrix dimensionality and reduce nonlinear PDE (134) to eq.(5).

Let $N < D^2$. Now $\hat{\xi}$ and $\hat{A}^{(i)}$ are rectangular $N \times D^2$ matrices and they can be represented in the following block forms:

$$\hat{\xi} = \left(\hat{\xi}_1 \;\; \hat{\xi}_2\right), \;\; \hat{A}^{(i)} = \left(\hat{A}_1^{(i)} \;\; \hat{A}_2^{(i)}\right), \tag{177}$$

where $\hat{\xi}_1$ and $\hat{A}_1^{(i)}$ are the square $N \times N$ matrices, while $\hat{\xi}_2$ and $\hat{A}_2^{(i)}$ are the rectangular $N \times (D^2 - N)$ matrices. We split eq.(174) into two following equations:

$$a^{(i)}\hat{\xi}_1 = \hat{A}_1^{(i)}, \tag{178}$$

$$a^{(i)}\hat{\xi}_2 = \hat{A}_2^{(i)}, \;\; i = 1, \ldots, K. \tag{179}$$

Let $\hat{\xi}_1$ be invertible. Without the loss of generality, we take $\hat{\xi}_1 = I_N$. Then eq.(178) defines $a^{(i)}$:

$$a^{(i)} = \hat{A}_1^{(i)}, \;\; i = 1, \ldots, K. \tag{180}$$

In particular, if $N = D$, then $a^{(i)}_{\alpha m_1} = a^{(i;1m_1)}_{\alpha}$. Substituting eq.(180) into eq.(179), we obtain:

$$\hat{A}_1^{(i)}\hat{\xi}_2 = \hat{A}_2^{(i)}, \;\; i = 1, \ldots, K. \tag{181}$$

Eq.(181) with $i = 1$ defines $\hat{\xi}_2$ (we assume that $\det \hat{A}_1^{(i)} \neq 0$):

$$\hat{\xi}_2 = (\hat{A}_1^{(1)})^{-1}\hat{A}_2^{(1)}. \tag{182}$$

It is obvious, that eqs. (181) with $i > 1$ hold if

$$\hat{A}_2^{(i)} = \Pi^{(i)}\hat{A}_2^{(1)}, \;\; \hat{A}_1^{(i)} = \Pi^{(i)}\hat{A}_1^{(1)}, \;\; \Pi^{(1)} = I_N, \tag{183}$$

where $\Pi^{(i)}$ are constant matrices and I_N is the $N \times N$ identity matrix. However, one has to remember that $\hat{A}_1^{(i)}$ and $\hat{A}_2^{(i)}$ are blocks of the same matrix $\hat{A}^{(i)}$ with elements having a certain structure. Therefore, not any constant matrices $\Pi^{(i)}$ can be taken in relations (183). The allowed matrices $\Pi^{(i)}$ are those that, after multiplying some matrix from the left, just rearrange rows of this matrix. Thus, all matrices $\hat{A}^{(i)}$, $i = 1, \ldots, K$, consist of rows of matrix $\hat{A}^{(1)}$ taken in different orders (the same holds for the matrices $\hat{A}_k^{(i)}$, $k = 1, 2$).

Analysis of eqs.(167) and (168). Having relations (183) among $\hat{A}_k^{(i)}$, $k = 1, 2$, and, consequently, the similar relations among $a^{(i)}$, $\hat{a}^{(i)}$ and $\hat{a}^{(i;m_1m_2)}$,

$$a^{(i)} = \Pi^{(i)}a^{(1)}, \quad \hat{a}^{(i)} = \hat{a}^{(1)}(\Pi^{(i)})^T, \;\; \hat{a}^{(i;m_1m_2)} = \Pi^{(i)}\hat{a}^{(1;m_1m_2)}(\Pi^{(i)})^T, \tag{184}$$

we establish the equivalence between equations (167) with $i = j$, i.e., only one of them is independent:

$$\sum_{m_1=1}^{D} L^{(m_1)}\hat{a}^{(i)}\hat{a}^{(i;m_11)} = 0 \;\; \Leftrightarrow \sum_{m_1=1}^{D} L^{(m_1)}\hat{a}^{(1)}(\Pi^{(i)})^T\Pi^{(i)}\hat{a}^{(1;m_11)} = 0 \;\; \Rightarrow \tag{185}$$

$$\sum_{m_1=1}^{D} L^{(m_1)}\hat{a}^{(1)}\hat{a}^{(1;m_11)} = 0.$$

Eqs.(167) with $i \neq j$ read

$$\sum_{m_1=1}^{D} L^{(m_1)} \hat{a}^{(1)} (\Pi^{(i)})^T \Pi^{(j)} \hat{a}^{(1;m_1 1)} = 0, \quad i \neq j, \quad i,j = 1,\ldots,K. \tag{186}$$

Similarly, eqs. (168) get the following form

$$\sum_{m_2=1}^{D} \hat{a}^{(1;1m_2)} (\hat{a}^{(1)})^T R^{(m_2)} = 0, \tag{187}$$

$$\sum_{m_2=1}^{D} \hat{a}^{(1;1m_2)} (\Pi^{(j)})^T \Pi^{(i)} (\hat{a}^{(1)})^T R^{(m_2)} = 0, \quad i \neq j, \quad i,j = 1,\ldots,K. \tag{188}$$

Notice that not all equations in systems (186) and (188) are independent. We consider their dependency using a particular representation of $\Pi^{(i)}$ in terms of the matrix Π (16) which shifts the rows: $\Pi^{(i)} = \Pi^{i-1}$, $i \geq 1$. Then eqs.(184) read

$$a^{(i)} = \Pi^{i-1} a^{(1)}, \quad \hat{a}^{(i)} = \hat{a}^{(1)} (\Pi^T)^{i-1}, \quad \hat{a}^{(i;m_1 m_2)} = \Pi^{i-1} \hat{a}^{(1;m_1 m_2)} (\Pi^T)^{i-1}. \tag{189}$$

Only those of eqs.(186) (and (188)) are independent which have different values $i - j$ (this increment can be either positive or negative). Thus, we reduce the system (185,186) to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m_1=1}^{D} L^{(m_1)} \hat{a}^{(1)} \hat{a}^{(i;m_1 1)} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{m_1=1}^{D} \tilde{L}^{(m_1)} \Pi^{i-1} \hat{a}^{(1;m_1 1)} = 0, \quad i = 1,\ldots,K, \\
&\sum_{m_1=1}^{D} L^{(m_1)} \hat{a}^{(i)} \hat{a}^{(1;m_1 1)} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{m_1=1}^{D} \tilde{L}^{(m_1)} (\Pi^T)^{i-1} \hat{a}^{(1;m_1 1)} = 0, \quad i = 2,\ldots,K, \\
&\tilde{L}^{(m_1)} = L^{(m_1)} \hat{a}^{(1)},
\end{aligned} \tag{190}$$

which is a system of $2K-1$ equations. Alternatively, this system can be represent by the single formula

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m_1=1}^{D} \tilde{L}^{(m_1)}_{\alpha\beta} \hat{a}^{(1;m_1 1)}_{\beta \pm i} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{m_1=1}^{D} \tilde{L}^{(m_1)}_{\alpha(\beta \pm i)} \hat{a}^{(1;m_1 1)}_{\beta} = 0, \\
&\hat{a}^{(1;m_1 1)}_{N+i} = \hat{a}^{(1;m_1 1)}_{i}, \quad \hat{a}^{(1;m_1 1)}_{-i} = \hat{a}^{(1;m_1 1)}_{N-i}, \\
&\tilde{L}^{(m_1)}_{\alpha(N+i)} = \tilde{L}^{(m_1)}_{\alpha i}, \quad \tilde{L}^{(m_1)}_{\alpha(-i)} = \tilde{L}^{(m_1)}_{\alpha(N-i)}, \quad i = 0,1,\ldots,K-1.
\end{aligned} \tag{191}$$

Thus, the total number of equations is reduced from K^2 in system (167) to $(2K-1)$ in system (191). Consequently, we should take $D = 2K$ to provide the solvability of system (191) with respect to $\tilde{L}^{(m_1)}$.

Similarly, the system (187-188) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m_2=1}^{D} \hat{a}^{(i;1m_2)} (\hat{a}^{(1)})^T R^{(m_2)} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{m_2=1}^{D} \hat{a}^{(1;1m_2)} (\Pi^T)^{i-1} \tilde{R}^{(m_2)} = 0, \quad i = 1,\ldots,K, \\
&\sum_{m_2=1}^{D} \hat{a}^{(1;1m_2)} (\hat{a}^{(1)})^T R^{(m_2)} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{m_2=1}^{D} \hat{a}^{(1;1m_2)} \Pi^{i-1} \tilde{R}^{(m_2)} = 0, \quad i = 2,\ldots,K, \\
&\tilde{R}^{(m_1)} = (\hat{a}^{(1)})^T R^{(m_1)}
\end{aligned} \tag{192}$$

which is also a system of $2K-1$ equations. Alternatively, this system can be written as

$$\sum_{m_2=1}^{D} \hat{a}^{(1;1m_2)}_{\alpha\pm i} \tilde{R}^{(m_2)}_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{m_2=1}^{D} \hat{a}^{(1;1m_2)}_{\alpha} \tilde{R}^{(m_2)}_{(\alpha\pm i)\beta} = 0, \tag{193}$$

$$\hat{a}^{(1;1m_2)}_{N+i} = \hat{a}^{(1;1m_2)}_{i}, \;\; \hat{a}^{(1;1m_2)}_{-i} = \hat{a}^{(1;1m_2)}_{N-i},$$

$$\tilde{R}^{(m_2)}_{(N+i)\alpha} = \tilde{R}^{(m_2)}_{i\alpha}, \;\; \tilde{R}^{(m_2}_{(-i)\alpha} = \tilde{R}^{(m_2)}_{(N-i)\alpha}, \;\; i = 0, 1, \ldots, K-1.$$

Thus, the total number of equations is reduced from K^2 in system (168) to $2K-1$ in system (193), and the choice $D = 2K$ provides solvability of system (193) with respect to $\tilde{R}^{(m_2)}$.

Next, it is important to note that systems (191) and (193) allow us to consider the case of diagonal matrices $\tilde{L}^{(m_1)}$ and $\tilde{R}^{(m_1)}$, i.e., these matrices can be represented as follows:

$$\tilde{L}^{(m_1)}_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \hat{L}^{(m_1)}_{\beta}, \tag{194}$$

$$\tilde{R}^{(m_2)}_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \hat{R}^{(m_2)}_{\alpha}. \tag{195}$$

Now systems (191) and (193) may be written as, respectively, eqs.(6) and (7) which can be solved for $\hat{a}^{(1;m_1 1)}$ and $\hat{a}^{(1;1m_2)}$, $m_1, m_2 = 2, \ldots, D$.

Finally, we transform expression (161) for $T^{(m_1 m_2)}$ to form (20). In virtue of decomposition (166), formula (161) reads

$$T^{(m_1 m_2)}(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} \tilde{g}^{(j)}(\lambda) \hat{a}^{(j;m_1 1)} \hat{a}^{(j;1m_2)}. \tag{196}$$

Substituting eqs.(189) into (196), we result in eq.(20).

Derivation of eq.(5). Let us turn to nonlinear equation (134) and write it in the form

$$\sum_{m_1,m_2=1}^{D} \hat{L}^{(m_1)} \left(\tilde{V}_{t_{m_1 m_2}} + \tilde{V} (\hat{a}^{(1)})^T \xi (\eta^{(m_1 m_2)})^T \hat{a}^{(1)} \tilde{V} - \tilde{V} (\hat{a}^{(1)})^T \eta^{(m_1 m_2)} \xi^T \hat{a}^{(1)} \tilde{V} \right) \hat{R}^{(m_2)} = 0, \tag{197}$$

where

$$\tilde{V} = (\hat{a}^{(1)})^{-1} V ((\hat{a}^{(1)})^T)^{-1}. \tag{198}$$

Eq.(198) can be transformed to eq.(14) using eq.(142) for V, eq.(151) for r, eq.(158) for $a^{(i)}$, and eq.(189) for $\hat{a}^{(i)}$. Taking into account relations (163), (6) and (7) we may rewrite eq.(197) in components eliminating some of the nonlinear terms:

$$\sum_{m_1,m_2=1}^{D} \hat{L}^{(m_1)}_{\alpha} \left((\tilde{V}_{\alpha\beta})_{t_{m_1 m_2}} + \frac{1}{1+Q} \sum_{\substack{\gamma,\delta=1 \\ \delta \notin Z(\alpha,\beta,K) \\ \gamma \neq \delta}}^{N} s_\gamma \hat{a}^{(1;m_1 m_2)}_{\delta} \left(\tilde{V}_{\alpha\gamma} \tilde{V}_{\delta\beta} - \tilde{V}_{\alpha\delta} \tilde{V}_{\gamma\beta} \right) \right) \hat{R}^{(m_2)}_{\beta} = 0, \tag{199}$$

$\alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, N,$

where we introduce the diagonal matrix s with the diagonal elements s_α,

$$s_\alpha = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} a^{(1)}_{\alpha\gamma} \xi_{\gamma 1}, \tag{200}$$

and use the set of indices $Z(\alpha,\beta,K)$ defined in eq.(35). Assuming the invertibility of the matrix $a^{(1)}$, we can use eq.(200) as the definition of the $N\times 1$ matrix ξ in terms of the arbitrary parameters s_α:

$$\xi = (a^{(1)})^{-1} sp. \tag{201}$$

Finally, let us introduce the function $U(t)$ with elements

$$U_{\alpha\beta}(t) = \frac{s_\alpha \tilde{V}_{\alpha\beta}(t) s_\beta}{1+Q}. \tag{202}$$

Then eq.(199) results in the following one:

$$\sum_{m_1,m_2=1}^{D} \hat{L}_\alpha^{(m_1)} \left((U_{\alpha\beta})_{t_{m_1m_2}} + \sum_{\substack{\gamma,\delta=1 \\ \delta\notin Z(\alpha,\beta,K) \\ \gamma\neq\delta}}^{N} \frac{\hat{a}_\delta^{(1;m_1m_2)}}{s_\delta} \Big(U_{\alpha\gamma}U_{\delta\beta} - U_{\alpha\delta}U_{\gamma\beta} \Big) \right) \hat{R}_\beta^{(m_2)} = 0, \tag{203}$$

$\alpha,\beta = 1,\ldots,N.$

Introducing $N\times 1$ matrix $p = (\underbrace{1\ \ldots\ 1}_{N})^T$ and using decomposition (166), we may write eq.(203) in matrix form (5). Finally, using eqs.(189) for $\hat{a}^{(i)}$ and (200) for s_α, we transform eq.(165) for $\tilde{g}^{(1)}$ into eq.(21).

6 Appendix B. Richness of solution space

Now we discuss the richness of solution space of nonlinear PDEs (134) and (5). Since the t-dependence is introduced through the diagonal matrix $\varepsilon(\lambda,t)$ given in eq.(117), the richness of solution space is defined by the function Ψ_0 (136):

$$(\Psi_0(\lambda,\mu;t))_{\gamma\delta} = \mathcal{C}_{\gamma\delta}(\lambda,\mu) \exp\left[\sum_{m_2=1}^{D}\sum_{m_1=1}^{D} t_{m_1m_2} \Big(T_\gamma^{(m_1m_2)}(\lambda) - T_\delta^{(m_1m_2)}(\mu) \Big) \right]. \tag{204}$$

Since the number of arbitrarily introduced variables $t_{m_1m_2}$ coincides with the number of independent combinations of the functions of spectral parameters in the exponent of expression (204), we have to define the number of such combinations. Therewith, the functions $T^{(m_1m_2)}$ are defined by eq.(196).

6.1 s-dimensionality of eq.(134)

Using expressions (196) for $T^{(m_1m_2)}$, we write the argument in the exponent of eq.(204) as

$$\sum_{m_1,m_2=1}^{D} t_{m_1m_2}(T_\gamma^{(m_1m_2)}(\lambda) - T_\delta^{(m_1m_2)}(\mu)) = \tag{205}$$

$$\sum_{m_1m_2=1}^{D}\sum_{j=1}^{K} \Big(\tilde{g}_\gamma^{(j)}(\lambda) \hat{a}_\gamma^{(j;m_11)} \hat{a}_\gamma^{(j;1m_2)} t_{m_1m_2} - \tilde{g}_\delta^{(j)}(\mu) \hat{a}_\delta^{(j;m_11)} \hat{a}_\delta^{(j;1m_2)} t_{m_1m_2} \Big).$$

Remember, that only $K-1$ functions in the list $\tilde{g}^{(i)}$, $i=1,\dots,K$, are independent functions of spectral parameters. Thus, in the list of functions $\tilde{g}^{(i)}_{\gamma}$, $\tilde{g}^{(j)}_{\delta}$ (with fixed γ and δ, $\gamma\neq\delta$, and $i,j=1,\dots,K$) there are $2(K-1)$ independent functions. These $2(K-1)$ functions introduce $2(K-1)$ independent variables $t_{m_1m_2}$, i.e., s-dim.$=2(K-1)$. If $\gamma=\delta$, then expression (205) involves only $K-1$ independent combinations $\tilde{g}^{(j)}_{\gamma}(\lambda)-\tilde{g}^{(j)}_{\gamma}(\mu)$, $j=2,\dots,K$, which introduce $K-1$ independent variables $t_{m_1m_2}$. Thus, expression (204) introduces up to $N(N-1)$ arbitrary functions of $2(K-1)$ variables and up to N arbitrary functions of $(K-1)$ variables.

6.2 s-dimensionality of eq.(5)

In the case of eq.(5), we shall use expression (20) for $T^{(m_1m_2)}$ so that the exponent in eq. (204) reads

$$\sum_{m_2=1}^{D}\sum_{m_1=1}^{D} t_{m_1m_2}(T^{(m_1m_2)}_{\gamma}(\lambda)-T^{(m_1m_2)}_{\delta}(\mu))= \tag{206}$$
$$\sum_{m_2=1}^{D}\sum_{m_1=1}^{D}\sum_{j=1}^{K}\Big(\tilde{g}^{(j)}_{\gamma}(\lambda)\Big(\Pi^{j-1}\hat{a}^{(1;m_11)}\hat{a}^{(1;1m_2)}(\Pi^{T})^{j-1}\Big)_{\gamma}t_{m_1m_2}-$$
$$\tilde{g}^{(j)}_{\delta}(\mu)\Big(\Pi^{j-1}\hat{a}^{(1;m_11)}\hat{a}^{(1;1m_2)}(\Pi^{T})^{j-1}\Big)_{\delta}t_{m_1m_2}\Big)=$$
$$\sum_{m_2=1}^{D}\sum_{m_1=1}^{D}\sum_{j=1}^{K}\Big(\tilde{g}^{(j)}_{\gamma}(\lambda)\hat{a}^{(1;m_11)}_{\gamma+j-1}\hat{a}^{(1;1m_2)}_{\gamma+j-1}t_{m_1m_2}-\tilde{g}^{(j)}_{\delta}(\mu)\hat{a}^{(1;m_11)}_{\delta+j-1}\hat{a}^{(1;1m_2)}_{\delta+j-1}t_{m_1m_2}\Big).$$

In this case the s-dimensionality remains the same (i.e., it equals $2(K-1)$), but the number of arbitrary scalar functions of $2(K-1)$ variables is reduced.

In fact, suppose that $\gamma-\delta=\Delta>0$ (the case with negative Δ can be treated similarly). As was argued above, there are only $K-1$ independent diagonal functions of spectral parameters in the list $\tilde{g}^{(i)}(\lambda)$, $i=1,\dots,K$. We take first $K-1$ of them without the loss of generality. Thus, to consider only independent functions of spectral parameters in expression (206), we cut the sum over j reducing the upper limit from K to $K-1$. This cut exponent (after introducing the parameter Δ) reads:

$$\sum_{m_2=1}^{D}\sum_{m_1=1}^{D}\sum_{j=1}^{K-1}\Big(\tilde{g}^{(j)}_{\delta+\Delta}(\lambda)\hat{a}^{(1;m_11)}_{\delta+\Delta+j-1}\hat{a}^{(1;1m_2)}_{\delta+\Delta+j-1}t_{m_1m_2}-\tilde{g}^{(j)}_{\delta}(\mu)\hat{a}^{(1;m_11)}_{\delta+j-1}\hat{a}^{(1;1m_2)}_{\delta+j-1}t_{m_1m_2}\Big)= \tag{207}$$
$$\sum_{m_2=1}^{D}\sum_{m_1=1}^{D}\sum_{j=1}^{K-1-\Delta}(\tilde{g}^{(j)}_{\delta+\Delta}(\lambda)-\tilde{g}^{(j+\Delta)}_{\delta}(\mu))\hat{a}^{(1;m_11)}_{\delta+\Delta+j-1}\hat{a}^{(1;1m_2)}_{\delta+\Delta+j-1}t_{m_1m_2}+$$
$$\sum_{m_2=1}^{D}\sum_{m_1=1}^{D}\sum_{j=K-\Delta}^{K-1}\tilde{g}^{(j)}_{\delta+\Delta}(\lambda)\hat{a}^{(1;m_11)}_{\delta+\Delta+j-1}\hat{a}^{(1;1m_2)}_{\delta+\Delta+j-1}t_{m_1m_2}-$$
$$\sum_{m_2=1}^{D}\sum_{m_1=1}^{D}\sum_{j=1}^{\Delta}\tilde{g}^{(j)}_{\delta}(\mu)\hat{a}^{(1;m_11)}_{\delta+j-1}\hat{a}^{(1;1m_2)}_{\delta+j-1}t_{m_1m_2}.$$

We see that if $\Delta=0$, then there are $K-1$ independent combinations of functions of spectral parameters: $(\tilde{g}^{(j)}_{\delta}(\lambda)-\tilde{g}^{(j)}_{\delta}(\mu))$ $(j=1,\dots,K-1)$. If $1\leq\Delta\leq(K-2)$, then the number of independent combinations becomes $K-1+\Delta$: $(\tilde{g}^{(j)}_{\delta+\Delta}(\lambda)-\tilde{g}^{(j+\Delta)}_{\delta}(\mu))$ $(j=1,\dots,K-1-\Delta)$,

$\tilde{g}^{(j)}_{\delta+\Delta}(\lambda)$ $(j = K-\Delta, \ldots, K-1)$, $\tilde{g}^{(j)}_{\delta}(\mu)$, $j = 1, \ldots, \Delta$. If $\Delta \geq (K-1)$, then the number of independent functions of spectral parameter reaches its maximal value $2(K-1)$.

All in all, expression (204) introduces up to N functions of $K-1$ variables, up to $2(N-\Delta)$ functions of $K-1+\Delta$ variables $(1 \leq \Delta \leq K-2)$, and up to $N^2 - N - 2\sum_{\Delta=1}^{K-2}(N-\Delta) = (N-K+2)(N-K+1)$ functions of $2(K-1)$ variables.

6.3 Number of arbitrary functions in solution space under Hermision reduction

The Hermitian reduction reduces the number of arbitrary functions in the solution space. Owing to the relation (29), the number of arbitrary complex functions of $2(K-1)$ variables reduces by two times in solution spaces of both eq.(134) under the Hermitian reduction and eq.(31) in comparison with the solution spaces of, respectively, eq.(134) and eq.(5). Thus, regarding the eq.(134) under the Hermitian reduction, this number is $N(N-1)/2$ instead of $N(N-1)$. The number of arbitrary functions of $(K-1)$ variables remains N in both equations. The number of other functions in solution space of eq.(31) is reduced by two times.

7 Appendix C. Relations among t-, N- and s-dimensionalities of eqs.(134) and (5)

Here we collect results regarding the relations among such important parameters of eqs.(134) and (5) as t-, N- and s-dimensionalities. We show that all parameters can be expressed in terms of the parameter K (the number of independent diagonal matrix functions $g^{(i)}(\lambda)$ in the definition of the function $r(\lambda)$, see eq.(151)).

Relations among parameters in eq.(134). In Sec.6.1 we found that s-dim.$= 2(K-1)$ for eq.(134).

Next, the matrices $L^{(m_1)}$ (and $R^{(m_1)}$), $m_1 = 1, \ldots, D$, can be considered as solutions to the system of K^2 matrix equations (167) (and (168)) if the number of equations in system (167) (and (168)) does not exceed the number of matrices $L^{(m_1)}$ (and $R^{(m_1)}$), $m_1 = 1, \ldots, D$, i.e., we take

$$D = K^2 + 1. \tag{208}$$

Thus, the t-dim.$= D^2 = (K^2+1)^2$.

Finally, it was shown in Sec.5.5.1 that system of diagonal equations (170) is solvable for $a^{(i)}$ if $N \geq D^2$, i.e., N-dim.$\geq (K^2+1)^2$.

Collecting the above results, we write the following relations among three dimensionalities:

$$t\text{-dim.} \sim (s\text{-dim.})^4, \quad N\text{-dim.} \gtrsim (s\text{-dim.})^4. \tag{209}$$

Relations among parameters in eq.(5). In Sec.6.2, we found that s-dim.$= 2(K-1)$.

Next, in Sec.5.6, we take $D = 2K$ to provide the solvability of equations (6) and (7) with respect to, respectively, $\hat{L}^{m_1}$ and $\hat{R}^{m_1}$, $m_1 = 2, \ldots, D$. Thus, t-dim.$= D^2 = 4K^2$.

The N-dimensionality of PDE (5) defines the structure of the nonlinear terms in eq. (203). To describe this effect, we turn to eq. (203). It can be readily checked, that the nonlinear

part disappears from eq.(203) if $N < 2K$. If $N = 2K$, then the nonlinear part exists only if $\alpha = \beta$, i.e., only the diagonal elements $U_{\alpha\alpha}$ satisfy the nonlinear PDE. In general, if $N > 2K$, then the nonlinear part exists if $\alpha = \sigma \pm i$, $\beta = \sigma \pm j$ with $i, j = 0, 1 \ldots, N - 2K$. Thus, if $2K \leq N < 4K - 1$, we have a "partial nonlinearity", i.e some elements of the matrix U satisfy the linear equations. Note that, in many cases, this is a "hidden linearity", i.e., all nonlinear terms (which are quadratic by construction) involve those elements of the matrix U that satisfy the linear PDEs. The system becomes completely nonlinear if $2(N-2K)+1 \geq N$, i.e., $N \geq 4K - 1$.

Thus, for the completely nonlinear matrix PDE,

$$t\text{-dim.} \sim (s\text{-dim.})^2, \quad N\text{-dim.} \gtrsim (s\text{-dim.}). \tag{210}$$

8 Appendix D. Diagonal eq.(122) with diagonal $C^{(m)}$: classical (2+1)-dimensional n-wave equation

According to Sec.5.3, eq.(122) is the principal equation in our algorithm. It defined what kind of nonlinear PDEs can be derived. Here we consider such solution to this equation that generates the classical (2+1)-dimensional n-wave equation.

Let eq. (122) be diagonal. This implies that matrices $R(\lambda, \mu)$, $r(\lambda)$ and $\tilde{r}(\mu)$ defined by eqs.(123) are diagonal as well. Let

$$T^{(m)}(\lambda) = \lambda C^{(m)}, \quad \tilde{T}^{(m)}(\mu) = \mu C^{(m)} \tag{211}$$

(here $m = (m_1 m_2)$). Then $C^{(m)}$ may be canceled from eq.(122) which yields

$$R(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{r(\lambda)\tilde{r}(\mu)}{\mu - \lambda}. \tag{212}$$

Since R, r and $\tilde{r}$ are diagonal, conditions (107) and (112) (or (145) and (146)) are satisfied if

$$\sum_{m_1} L^{(m_1)} C^{(m_1 m_2)} = 0, \tag{213}$$

$$\sum_{m_2} C^{(m_1 m_2)} R^{(m_2)} = 0. \tag{214}$$

Owing to eqs.(211), the number of arguments in arbitrary functions (parameterizing solution space) is restricted. In fact, eq.(205) now reads

$$\sum_{m_1, m_2 = 1}^{D} t_{m_1 m_2} (T_\gamma^{(m_1 m_2)}(\lambda) - T_\delta^{(m_1 m_2)}(\mu)) = \sum_{m_1, m_2 = 1}^{D} t_{m_1 m_2} \Big(C_\gamma^{(m_1 m_2)} \lambda - C_\delta^{(m_1 m_2)} \mu \Big). \tag{215}$$

We see that only two independent spectral parameters λ and μ appear in this expression if $\delta \neq \gamma$. If $\delta = \gamma$, then there is only one spectral parameter $\lambda - \mu$. Thus we may introduce $N(N-1)$ arbitrary functions of two independent variables and N arbitrary functions of one independent variable in the solution space. This means that we may provide the full solution space only to $(2+1)$ dimensional nonlinear PDE with $N(N-1)$ scalar fields. To obtain the classical form of this PDE, we take the real diagonal matrices $C^{(m_1 m_2)}$, $L^{(m_1)}$ and $R^{(m_2)}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} &L^{(m_1)} = R^{(m_1)}, \;\; C^{(m_1 m_1)} = 0, \;\; C^{(m_1 m_2)} = -C^{(m_2 m_1)}, \;\; t_{m_1 m_2} = -t_{m_2 m_1}, \\ &L^{(1)} = C^{(23)} \equiv C^{(1)} = I_N, \;\; L^{(2)} = -C^{(13)} \equiv -C^{(2)}, \;\; L^{(3)} = C^{(12)} \equiv C^{(3)} \end{aligned} \tag{216}$$

and introduce the new variables

$$t^{(1)} \equiv -t^{(23)}, \;\; t^{(2)} \equiv t^{(13)}, \;\; t^{(3)} \equiv t^{(12)}. \tag{217}$$

Then eq. (113) becomes classical (2+1)-dimensional nonlinear PDEs (1) with three independent variables t_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$ [29, 30].

9 Appendix E. Remark on higher order nonlinear PDEs

As was mentioned in Introduction, Sec.1, the proposed dressing algorithm can be simply generalized to construct higher order nonlinear PDEs. For this purpose, we have to replace the first external constraint (107) with another one. To explain the way of doing it, we recall that external constraint (107) is introduced to construct the linear integral homogeneous equation with the kernel $\Psi + \mathcal{I}$ (see eq.(111)) combining the first derivatives of equation (104). Similarly, we may introduce the first constraint from the requirement to obtain the linear integral homogeneous equation with the kernel $\Psi + \mathcal{I}$ combining the second, third (and so on) derivatives of equation (104), thus obtaining the second-, third-order (and so on) multidimensional matrix nonlinear PDEs. The second external constraint (112) is introduced to obtain the nonlinear PDE for the field V (see eq.(113)). In the case of higher order PDE, the modified first external constraint forces the appropriate modification of the second external constraint. More detailed description of higher order nonlinear PDEs and associated dressing algorithm remains beyond the scope of this paper.

References

[1] C.S.Gardner, J.M.Green, M.D.Kruskal, R.M.Miura, Phys.Rev.Lett. **19**, 1095 (1967)

[2] V.E.Zakharov, S.V.Manakov, S.P.Novikov and L.P.Pitaevsky, *Theory of Solitons. The Inverse Problem Method*, Plenum Press (1984)

[3] M.J.Ablowitz and P.C.Clarkson, *Solitons, Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Inverse Scattering*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991

[4] V.E.Zakharov and A.B.Shabat, Funct.Anal.Appl. **8**, 43 (1974)

[5] V.E.Zakharov and A.B.Shabat, Funct.Anal.Appl. **13**, 13 (1979)

[6] V.E.Zakharov and S.V.Manakov, Funct.Anal.Appl. **19**, 11 (1985)

[7] L.V.Bogdanov and S.V.Manakov, J.Phys.A:Math.Gen. **21**, L537 (1988)

[8] B. Konopelchenko, *Solitons in Multidimensions*, World Scientific, Singapore (1993)

[9] A.I.Zenchuk and P.M.Santini, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **39**, 5825 (2006)

[10] J. B. Whitham, *Linear and Nonlinear Waves*, Wiley, NY, 1974

[11] P. M. Santini and A. I. Zenchuk, Phys. Lett. **A 368**, 48 (2007)

[12] S.P. Tsarev, Sov. Math. Dokl. **31** No.3, 488 (1985)

[13] B.A.Dubrovin, S.P. Novikov, Russian Math. Surveys **44** No.6, 35 (1989)

[14] S.P.Tsarev, Math. USSR Izv. **37**, 397 (1991)

[15] E.V. Ferapontov, Teor. Mat. Fiz. **99**, 257 (1994)

[16] Hopf E, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **3** 201 (1950), Cole J D, Q. Appl. Math. **9**, 225 (1951)

[17] Calogero F in What is Integrability ed V E Zakharov (Berlin: Springer) (1990) 1

[18] Calogero F and Xiaoda Ji, J. Math. Phys. **32**, 875 (1991)

[19] Calogero F and Xiaoda Ji, J. Math. Phys. **32**, 2703 (1991)

[20] Calogero F, J. Math. Phys. **33**, 1257 (1992)

[21] P.M.Santini, Inverse Problems **8**, 285 (1992)

[22] R.S.Ward, Phys.Lett.A **61**, 81 (1977)

[23] A.A.Belavin and V.E.Zakharov, Phys.Lett.B **73**, 53 (1978)

[24] S.V.Manakov and P.M.Santini, Phys. Lett. A **359**, 613 (2006)

[25] S. V. Manakov and P. M. Santini, JETP Letters **83**, 462 (2006)

[26] B. Konopelchenko, L. Martinez Alonso and O. Ragnisco, J.Phys. A: Math. Gen. **34**, 10209 (2001)

[27] A.I. Zenchuk, J. Phys. A: Math.Gen. **37**, 6557 (2004)

[28] A.I. Zenchuk, Phys.Lett.A **375**, 2704 (2011)

[29] D.J.Kaup, Stud. Appl. Math. **62**, 75 (1980)

[30] D.J.Kaup, Physica D **1**, 45 (1980)

[31] A.I.Zenchuk, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **43**, 245203 (2010)